


Appendix A Other Regional Hydrographs







Appendix B Estimated Schedule of TQID and SLWD Waters through 2009 – 2011 Exchange

FY 09-10	Months Aug-09 Sep-09 Oct-09 Nov-09 Dec-09 Jan-10 Feb-10	TQID Monthly GW Deliveries to Fresno Slough (AF) 2,105 2,105 1,579 526 0 0 0	Monthly 5% Seepage Loss to Mendota Pool (AF) -105 -105 -79 -26 0 0 0 -315	Monthly Exchange by Bureau (AF) 2,000 2,000 1,500 0 0 0 0 0	Cumulative Exchange by Bureau (AF) 2,000 4,000 5,500 6,000 6,000 6,000	SLWD Monthly Surface Water Deliveries from SLR (AF) 0 2,000 2,000 1,500 500 0 0 6,000	Cumulative Surface Water Delievered to SLWD (AF) 0 2,000 4,000 5,500 6,000 6,000 6,000
FY 10-11	Mar-10	1,053	-53	1,000	7,000	0	6,000
	Apr-10	1,053	-53	1,000	8,000	1,000	7,000
	May-10	0	0	0	8,000	1,000	8,000
	Jun-10	0	0	0	8,000	0	8,000
	Jul-10	0	0	0	8,000	0	8,000
	Aug-10	1,053	-53	1,000	9,000	0	8,000
	Sep-10	2,105	-105	2,000	11,000	1,000	9,000
	Oct-10	2,105	-105	2,000	13,000	2,000	11,000
	Nov-10	1,053	-53	1,000	14,000	2,000	13,000
	Dec-10	0	0	0	14,000	1,000	14,000
	Jan-11	0	0	0	14,000	0	14,000
	Feb-11	0	0	0	14,000	0	14,000
		8,422	-422	8,000		8,000	
FY 11-12	Mar-11	0	0	0	14,000	0	14,000
	Apr-11	0	0	0	14,000	0	14,000
	May-11	0	0	0	14,000	0	14,000
	Jun-11	0	0	0	14,000	0	14,000
	Jul-11	0	0	0	14,000	0	14,000
	Aug-11	0	0	0	14,000	0	14,000
	Sep-11	0	0	0	14,000	0	14,000
	Oct-11	0	0	0	14,000	0	14,000
	Nov-11	0	0	0	14,000	0	14,000
	Dec-11	0	0	0	14,000	0	14,000
	Jan-12	0	0	0	14,000	0	14,000
	Feb-12	0	0	0	14,000	0	14,000
		0	0	0		0	

Notes:

- 1) Anticipated CCID Mendota Dam maintenance period 11/25 -
- 2) TQID Groundwater Deliveries to Fresno Slough through TQID Lifts #1 and #2.
- 3) TQID Wells Potentially Involved in Program are Irrigation Wells #16, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, and 26.

Appendix C Water Quality testing on TQID Pumpbacks to the Fresno Slough

Report of Water Analysis

1910 W. McKinley, Suite 110, Franco, CA 93728 FAX (559) 765 8174 - (809) 778-1998 - (559) 233-9129

Tranquillify Irrigation District PO Box 487 Tranquillify CA 9/ 17028

Material Submitted:

Lab No. 132701 Sampled Date 7/15/2009 Sampled Time

LocationProject Copy To Fax (559) 698-5105 e-mail Submitted Date 7/15/2009
Submitted by Rod Wade
Reported Date 7/20/2009

						adj										
	S	ß	Mg	2	SAR	SAR	ច	CO ₄ +HCO ₃	\$0°	60	NON	F.0	를	五	Ľ	TDS
	dS/m	medil	smertil.	Them	St.	OBJ		meg/L	meny.	Age.	mg/L	mgA	MDA	Tes	Sep	Transf
J. J	10.0	100	0.01	000	0.0	4		0.1	0.60	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.0	1.0 lo 14.0	-2.0 to 2.0	10
130	2510 B	3120 B	3120 B	3120 B	S. C.	Sign		2320 B	450050 E	\$120B	6SOONO3 E	3 IZO B	3120 B	4500M B	2330 8	2640 C
EPA-									900		300					
Analysis Date:	2005,711	711772008	1/11/2008	1117000	1 SOUTH	1/20/2009	M7/2004	7717/2009	600011111	800ZM111	BO05/11/2	1 80X8/1 VI	TATABOO	77,772009	8000001	
Fresna Skugh Spill 11:00	1.25	1.55	0.36	10.0	10.3	15.5	2.4	2.3	8.1	1.10	8.0	<0.01	0.03	7.9	0.0	
Lift 1 Spill 11:50	0.74	1.39	1.17	5.1	4.5	7.14	2.8	2.6	6	0.32	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01	7.5	-0.3	
	**	,				*										

Pit. = Reporting Limit

514 = Shandard Methods for the Exemination of Water and Wisstewster, 19th ed., 1995

EPA.* Environmental Protection Agency methods used unless otherwise indicated. Disselved nietals (bolded) were filtered. GA/QC systable upon request.

Approved By:

10F-51-5009 00:20

STATEMENT FOR

P.02/02

C.B.M. LOANER


902

Appendix D Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District Groundwater Management Plan

GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

TRANQUILLITY IRRIGATION DISTRICT FRESNO SLOUGH WATER DISTRICT

JULY 2009



PREPARED BY:

PROVOST AND PRITCHARD ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.





TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 – INTRODUCTION	
1.1 - Background Information on Districts	
1.2 - Goals and Objectives of Groundwater Management Plan	4
1.3 - Statutory Authority for Groundwater Management	
1.4 - Groundwater Management Plan Components	5
1.5 - Adoption of Plan	6
2 - GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY	8
2.1 - Regional Geology	8
2.2 - Stratigraphy	
2.3 - Aquifer Characteristics	
2.4 - Groundwater Levels	15
2.5 - Groundwater Quality	
3 - BASIN MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES	
4 - STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT	
4.1 - Groundwater Advisory Committee	
4.2 - Relationships with Other Agencies	
4.3 - Plan to Involve the Public and Other Agencies	
5 - MONITORING PROGRAM	
5.1 - Groundwater Level Monitoring	
5.2 - Groundwater Quality Monitoring	
5.3 - Groundwater Monitoring Protocols	
5.4 - Surface Water Monitoring	
5.5 - Land Surface Subsidence Monitoring	
6 - GROUNDWATER RESOURCES PROTECTION	
6.1 - Well Abandonment	
6.2 - Wellhead Protection	
6.3 - Saline Water Intrusion	
6.4 - Migration of Contaminated Groundwater	
6.5 - Groundwater Quality Protection	
7 - GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY	
7.1 - Issues Impacting Groundwater Sustainability	
7.2 - Overdraft Mitigation	
7.3 - Groundwater Replenishment	
7.4 - Conjunctive Use of Water Resources	
7.5 - Water Conservation and Education	
7.6 - Water Recycling	40
8 - GROUNDWATER OPERATIONS	
8.1 - Well Construction Policies	
8.2 - Operation of Facilities	
9 - GROUNDWATER PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT	
9.1 - Land Use Planning	44

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

9.2 - Groundwater Reports	44
9.3 - Plan Implementation	
9.4 - Plan Re-evaluation	
9.5 - Dispute Resolution	46
9.6 - Program Funding and Fees	
10 - REFERENCES	

Figures

- 1 Vicinity Map
- 2 District Location Map
- 3 Soils Map
- 4 Groundwater Basin Map
- 5 Well Hydrograph
- 6 Geologic Cross Section
- 7 Regional Groundwater Contour Map
- 8 Major Clay Layers
- 9 Well Location Map

Attachments

- 1 Well Attributes Table
- 2 Annual Groundwater Report Outline
- 3 Implementation Schedule

Tables

- 1.1 Location of Groundwater Management Plan Components
- 2.1 Water Quality Summary

Appendices

- A Public Participation in Plan Adoption
- B Tranquillity Irrigation District Rules and Regulations
- C Groundwater Monitoring Protocols

List of Abbreviations

AB Assembly Bill

ACWA Association of California Water Agencies

AF Acre-feet

AWMC Agricultural Water Management Council

BDC Business Development Corridor

bgs below ground surface

CRMP Coordinated Resource Management and Planning

CVP Central Valley Project
DBCP dibromochloropropane

DPH Department of Public Health
DWR Department of Water Resources

EC Electrical Conductivity

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

ET evapotranspiration

EWMP Efficient Water Management Practices

FKC Friant-Kern Canal

FSWD Fresno Slough Water District
GAC Groundwater Advisory Committee
gpm Groundwater Management Plan

GPM gallons per minute

GPS Global Positioning System

I-5 BDC Interstate 5 Business Development Corridor

ID Irrigation District

IRWMP Integrated Regional Water Management Plan

JID James Irrigation District

KRWA Kings River Water Association LLC Limited Liability Company MCL Maximum Contaminant Level

NRCS Natural Resources Conservation Service

PUD Public Utilities District

RCD Resource Conservation District

SB Senate Bill

SJVDP San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program

SLDMWA San Luis and Delta-Mendota Water Authority

TDS total dissolved solids

TID Tranquillity Irrigation District

USBR United States Bureau of Reclamation USGS United States Geological Survey

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

1 - INTRODUCTION

This Groundwater Management Plan (GMP or Plan) is a joint effort between Tranquillity Irrigation District (TID) and Fresno Slough Water District (FSWD). From hereon these two agencies will be called the 'plan participants' or the 'Districts'. This GMP also covers the community of Tranquillity, which is within the Tranquillity Irrigation District. The area covered by this GMP will be called the 'plan area'.

This GMP satisfies the requirements for GMPs created by the September 2002 California State Senate Bill No. 1938, which amended Sections 10753 and 10795 of the California Water Code. This Plan also addresses recommended components for a Groundwater Management Plan described in Appendix C of Department of Water Resources Bulletin 118 (2003 Update).

1.1 - Background Information on Districts

Below is a brief description of the origin, physiography, geology, water supplies and facilities in the Districts.

Origin of Districts

Tranquillity Irrigation District (TID) was formed on January 22, 1918, as a public agency designed to serve the local community with water. It is the second oldest such agency in Fresno County. A Board of Directors elected from the District at-large governs the District. The District is responsible for acquisition and delivery of surface water and groundwater for irrigation purposes. Additionally, the District, when formed, established the Community of Tranquillity. When initially established, the District was responsible for domestic water supply, energy production, recreation, streets and roads, and lighting. The District has provided domestic water to the community since at least 1920. Fresno Slough Water District (FSWD) was formed in 1954 and serves surface water and groundwater to agricultural customers.

Geography

TID encompasses approximately 10,750 acres in the west central portion of Fresno County in California's Central San Joaquin Valley. The principal community is the unincorporated community of Tranquillity, which is within the District boundary. FSWD is located on the north and northwestern edge of TID. The District includes 1,459 acres and has approximately 1,030 acres of cropped land consisting primarily of field crops. Refer to **Figure 1** for a vicinity map and **Figure 2** for a map of the Districts.

Climate

The Plan area is characterized by a warm desert climate. Temperatures during summer often exceed 100 degrees F with winter temperature usually 32 degrees F or higher. Annual average precipitation is 7.4 inches. The growing season is long with most precipitation occurring during winter. The highest precipitation occurs during January with about 90 percent of the total precipitation occurring between November and April.

Precipitation is rare during the summer and usually associated with infrequent tropical storms. Prevailing winds are from the northwest and usually less than 10 miles per hour.

Soils and Agronomy

Refer to Figure 3 for a NRCS (Natural Resources Conservation Service) soils map of TID and FSWD, and Section 2.2 for more details on soils in the Plan area. Approximately 9,600 acres were irrigated in TID in 2005. TID lands are predominately used for the production of irrigated field, row and forage crops. Crops occupying 5 percent or more of the acreage included cotton, sugar beets and canning tomatoes. Other crops grown during 2003 included alfalfa (207 acres), almonds (42 acres), wheat (196 acres), vegetable seed (24 acres), pasture (5 acres), and corn (249 acres). Little information is available on cropping in FSWD, but cropping patterns and irrigation methods are similar to those in TID.

About 80 percent of TID is irrigated using dead level basins with short length of water run, usually 1/8 mile long. Surface drip irrigation is used for the almond plantings. Sprinklers and gated pipe may be used during pre-irrigation. TID turnouts provide large flows, generally 7 to 14 cfs, which allows for rapid flooding of small fields. This approach maximizes on-farm irrigation efficiency in the District.

Groundwater Basin

As defined by the Department of Water Resources, Bulletin 118, TID and FSWD are located in San Joaquin River Hydrologic Region within the San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin (**Figure 4**). The Districts are the southernmost extent of the San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin and are within the Delta-Mendota subbasin. The Districts are bounded to the south and east by the Kings Groundwater subbasin and to the west by the Westside groundwater subbasin. The groundwater basin boundaries are geo-political, having been determined by a combination of geological and political boundaries.

Refer to Section 2 for more details on the geology in the plan area.

Subsurface Drainage

Some portions of TID and FSWD have been designated by the United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) as drainage problem areas. However, the shallow groundwater table is generally 15 feet or deeper beneath District lands and subsurface drainage systems have not been constructed. Furthermore, crops are grown without impact from shallow groundwater. TID has installed monitoring wells to track the elevation of this shallow groundwater table and to determine if lateral subsurface drainage flows from upland areas are impacting District land. To date shallow groundwater has not affected irrigation practices or crop production in the Districts.

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

Agricultural Water Supplies

TID is geographically adjacent to the Fresno Slough, an historic northern flood outlet of the north fork of the Kings River. Fresno Slough was also a flooded backwater (swamp) of the San Joaquin River. As a result, the District has historic riparian water right claims to water from both the Kings and San Joaquin Rivers. Surface water contracts include 13,800 AF of Schedule II CVP water (Contract No. 14-06-200-701-A-LTR1) and 20,200 AF of riparian San Joaquin River water (Contract14-06-200-701-A). The CVP water is delivered by USBR from the Shasta Division (Delta water) or Friant Division (San Joaquin River water) according to water supply availability.

Fresno Slough Water District has a Central Valley Project water contract (No. 4019A) for 4,000 AF/year of Schedule II water and a San Joaquin river riparian contract for 866 AF/year.

Today, the Delta-Mendota Canal discharges CVP water into Mendota Pool, and some of this supply flows south into Fresno Slough. The Districts then lifts its allocation of CVP water from the Fresno Slough into its own distribution system.

In addition to surface water, the TID owns five groundwater wells located in FSWD, which are operated to help meet peak demands, and augment surface water supplies when there are shortages. Agricultural wells are typically only run in the summer. In 1992, the CVP was required to provide water for new demands, such as environmental requirements for endangered fish species in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta. Providing CVP water for these new demands has reduced water allocation for south of Delta CVP water users, and has placed pressure on other water sources, including local groundwater.

In 2003, TID used 5,600 AF of CVP water, 20,200 AF of local riparian water and 2,150 AF of groundwater. The Districts do not typically use State water, upslope drain water, transferred water or reclaimed water. No data is available on water use in FSWD.

Community of Tranquillity Water Supplies.

The Districts surface water supplies are delivered through the Delta-Mendota Canal. The canal is offline for maintenance about one month every two years. Such a supply interruption could not be accommodated by the community, and therefore they rely on groundwater supplies. The groundwater currently has high arsenic levels and the Community is investigating ways to treat the water.

Future Water Demands

Water demands are not projected to increase in TID, FSWD or the Community of Tranquillity. Cropping patterns are expected to remain relatively the same. Population growth in Tranquillity is expected to be negligible; currently, growth in the community is limited by the capacity of their wastewater treatment plant.

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

Facilities

Facilities in the Districts include seven irrigation wells and two municipal wells. There are very few private agricultural and domestic wells in the Districts.

The TID distribution system includes approximately 42 miles of unlined canals, 5 miles of pipeline, two major lift-pump stations, and a series of secondary lifts. The entire irrigation system is metered, which includes water diverted by the District and deliveries to farm turnouts. The system also is automated, which facilitates efficient operation. No information is available on the FSWD facilities.

The District also maintains the domestic water system for the local community as well as the community park. The demand for these urban water uses is provided by groundwater pumping. Water rights and CVP contract waters are used for crop irrigation, with a small amount also used for landscape irrigation at a public park.

For more general information on TID and their facilities refer to the Tranquillity Irrigation District Water Management Plan – 2005 Update.

1.2 - Goals and Objectives of Groundwater Management Plan

The overall purpose of this GMP is to develop a coordinated and comprehensive approach to the evaluation and management of groundwater resources within TID and FSWD.

This GMP documents the existing groundwater management efforts in TID and FSWD and planned efforts to improve groundwater management. Specific groundwater management goals documented in this GMP include the following:

- 1. Preserve, and, where feasible, enhance the existing quality of the area's groundwater.
- 2. Preclude surface or ground water exports that would reduce the long-term supply of groundwater.
- 3. Provide a mechanism for TID and FSWD to share data and ideas and work collaboratively to manage the local groundwater.
- 4. Coordinate groundwater management efforts between regional water users.
- 5. Maintain local management of the groundwater resources.
- 6. Implement a groundwater-monitoring program to provide an "early warning" system to future problems.
- 7. Stabilize groundwater levels in order to minimize pumping costs and energy use, and provide groundwater reserves for use in droughts.
- 8. Develop groundwater storage facilities outside of the Plan area to reduce stress on local groundwater reserves during droughts.
- 9. Maximize the use of surface water, including available flood water, for beneficial use, and thus reduce stress on groundwater resources.
- 10. Increase knowledge of the local geology and hydrogeology to better understand threats to groundwater quality and quantity.

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

- Minimize future land subsidence caused by groundwater pumping through in-lieu groundwater recharge, and wise and conservative use of pumped groundwater.
- 12. Prevent groundwater degradation by protecting groundwater quality, importing clean surface water, and preventing intrusion of poor quality groundwater from neighboring areas.

In addition, the Districts will take a proactive role in the legislative process. The Districts will participate in development of sound legislation concerning groundwater management if it becomes necessary. The Districts will also take an active role in opposing any legislation that is detrimental to local groundwater management efforts.

1.3 - Statutory Authority for Groundwater Management

California Assembly Bill 3030 (AB 3030), as chaptered, (California Water Code, Division 6, Part 2.75, SEC. 10750-10753.9) grants specified "local agencies" authority to undertake groundwater management. AB 3030 also confers upon local agencies the powers of a water replenishment district. These authorities remained unchanged with the amendments to the law provided by 2002 California Senate Bill 1938 (SB 1938). The GMP has been updated to include components listed in California SB 1938. In addition, agencies adopting a GMP are authorized to enter into agreements with other local agencies or private parties to manage mutual groundwater supplies, including those existing in overlapping areas.

1.4 - Groundwater Management Plan Components

This GMP includes the required and voluntary components for a GMP as identified in California Water Code Section 10753, et. seq. This Plan is also consistent with the recommended elements for a GMP as identified in DWR Bulletin 118 (2003), Appendix C. **Table 1.1** identifies the location within this document where each of the components is addressed.

Table 1.1 - Location of Groundwater Management Plan Components

Description	Pian
California Water Code Mandatory Requirements (10750 et seq.)	Section(s)
Documentation of public involvement	1.5, Appendix A
Groundwater basin management objectives	1.2, 3
 Monitoring and management of groundwater elevations, groundwater quality, land subsidence, and surface water 	5
4. Plan to involve other agencies located in the groundwater basin	4.3
5. Monitoring protocols	5.3
6. Map of groundwater basin and agencies overlying the basin	Figure 4
California Water Code Voluntary Components (10750 et seq.)	
7. Control of saline water intrusion	6.3
8. Identification and management of wellhead protection areas and recharge areas	6.2, 7.2
9. Regulation of the migration of contaminated groundwater	6.3, 6.4
10. Administration of well abandonment and well destruction program	6.1
11. Mitigation of overdraft conditions	<u>7.</u> 1, 7.2
12. Replenishment of groundwater extracted by water users	7.2
13. Monitoring of groundwater levels and storage	5.1, 9.2
14. Facilitating conjunctive use operations	7.3
15. Identification of well construction policies	8.1
16. Construction and operation by local agency of groundwater contamination cleanup, recharge, storage, conservation, water recycling, and extraction projects	6.4, 7, 8.2
17. Development of relationships with state and federal regulatory agencies	4.2, 4.3
18. Review of land use plans and coordination with land use planning agencies	9.1
Additional Components Recommended by DWR (App. C of Bulletin 118)	
19. Advisory committee of stakeholders	4.1
20. Description of the area to be managed under the Plan	1.1, 2
21. Descriptions of actions to meet management objectives and how they will improve water reliability	4 - 9
22. Periodic groundwater reports	9.2
23. Periodic re-evaluation of Groundwater Management Plan	9.4

1.5 - Adoption of Plan

Refer to **Appendix A** for documentation on the adoption of the GMP and the public process that was followed.

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

On November 18, 2008 the TID Board of Directors agreed to jointly prepare a GMP, and let TID be the lead agency for the GMP. Refer to **Appendix A** for a copy of the minutes from this meeting. By serving as the lead agency, TID was able to adopt resolutions and publish public notices on behalf of TID and FSWD.

Groundwater Advisory Committee

The TID Board of Directors is comprised of local landowners that are considered representative of the local growers. They are also already familiar with groundwater issues in the Districts. As a result, the TID Board of Directors effectively serves as a Groundwater Advisory Committee. The Board of Directors provided comments throughout the development of the GMP. In addition, the Board members were each given a copy of the draft GMP, with the invitation to provide additional comments.

Public Participation in Plan Development

The public was invited to participate in the development of the updated GMP through newspaper notices and public hearings. These are described below:

Public Notice of Intention to Prepare a Groundwater Management Plan

As required by the California Water Code, a public hearing was duly noticed on November 26, 2008 and December 3, 2008 consistent with California Water Code Section 10753.2(a), and held on December 16, 2008, to discuss the preparation of a GMP. No public comments beyond those offered by the Board of Directors were received at this meeting.

Resolution of Intention to Prepare Groundwater Management Plan

TID adopted a Resolution of Intention to Prepare a Groundwater Management Plan on December 16, 2008. This resolution was then published on February 4 and February 11, 2009 consistent with California Water Code Section 10753.2(a).

Public Notice of Intention to Adopt the Groundwater Management Plan
As required by the California Water Code, a public hearing was duly noticed on
and, consistent with California Water Code Section 10753.2(a), and held on
to discuss adoption of the GMP. No public comments were received at this
meeting.
Resolution Adopting the Updated Groundwater Management Plan
TID adopted a Resolution to Adopt the Groundwater Management Plan on
This resolution was then published on and consistent with California
Water Code Section 10753.2(a).

2 - GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

This section discusses the geology and hydrogeology of TID, the Community of Tranquillity, FSWD, and the surrounding area. The purpose of this section is to provide general background information on the local geology, hydrogeology and water chemistry that will aid in selecting and implementing groundwater management programs. Information in this section was derived primarily from published reports from Davis et al. (1964), Bertoldi (1971), Ireland (1975), USGS (1986), DWR (2003), Sokol (1955) and TID water sampling records prepared by Twining Laboratories (1999).

The following sections include technical discussions on the plan area's groundwater. These are intended to provide geologists, engineers, and water managers a greater understanding of the area's stratigraphy, groundwater conditions, and hydrogeologic parameters. Less technical discussions on groundwater management programs are provided in Sections 3-9 of this document.

2.1 - Regional Geology

The San Joaquin Valley is part of a large, northwest-to-southeast trending asymmetric trough of the Central Valley, which has been filled with up to six vertical miles of sediment. This sediment includes both marine and continental deposits ranging in age from Jurassic to Holocene (recent). The San Joaquin Valley lies between the Coast Ranges on the west, the Sierra Nevada on the east, and extends northwestward from the San Emigdo and Tehachapi Mountains to the Delta near the City of Stockton. The San Joaquin Valley is 250 miles long and 50 to 60 miles wide. The relatively flat alluvial floor is interrupted occasionally by low hills.

The San Joaquin Valley is divided into several geomorphic land types including dissected uplands, low alluvial fans and plains, river floodplains and channels, and overflow lands and lake bottoms. The alluvial plains cover most of the valley floor and comprise some of the most intensely developed agricultural lands in the San Joaquin Valley. In general, alluvial sediments of the western and southern parts of the San Joaquin Valley tend to have lower permeability then eastside deposits. The lower permeability in material along the western and southern portions of the valley is mainly attributed to the fine-grained nature of the parent material from which the alluvium is derived. These sediments are predominately marine in origin and consist of the thick sequences of mudstone, claystone, and siltstone that make up the Coast Ranges. Upon weathering and transport down slope along alluvial fans, these sediments readily decrepitate into fine-grained materials consisting mainly of silt and clay found along the axis of the valley trough.

Near the valley trough, fluvial deposits of the east and west sides grade into fine-grained deposits termed Flood-basin deposits by USGS (1986). The San Joaquin Valley has several thick, fine-grained, lacustrine deposits. The Corcoran Clay

Member of the Tulare Formation is the most notable fine-grained deposit in the San Joaquin Valley affecting groundwater quality and creating confined groundwater conditions below. The Corcoran Clay was deposited about 600,000 years ago in the Tulare Lake, also known as Lake Clyde. This clay bed, which is found in the western and southern portions of the valley, separates the upper semi-confined to unconfined aquifer from the lower confined aquifer. The clay bed covers approximately 5,000 square miles and is up to 160 feet thick beneath the present bed of Tulare Lake. A map showing the major clay layers in the area is included as **Figure 8.**

Regional Hydrogeologic Setting

Groundwater in the plan area is divided into three separate non-marine, water bearing zones. These include the lower water-bearing zone, upper water-bearing zone and the perched or shallow zone, as discussed below.

- The lower water-bearing zone contains fresh water in the lower section of the Tulare Formation from the base of the E clay (Corcoran Clay) to the base of fresh water or the top of connate, saline marine water. Sokol (1955) terms the base of the fresh water aquifer as the base of the effective ground-water reservoir.
- The upper water-bearing zone is from the top of the Corcoran Clay to the upper sections of the Tulare Formation, often considered the bottom of the A clay.
- The **shallow** or **perched zone** is from the top of the A Clay, if it is present, to the perched groundwater table which is often within 10 feet or less of the ground surface. DWR Bulletin 118 uses 25 feet below ground surface (bgs) as a general vertical depth limit for the base of the perched zone.

Subsidence

Land subsidence in the San Joaquin Valley has been studied extensively in the past by the USGS and DWR. A State-Federal committee on subsidence was formed in the early 1950's and performed research and measured subsidence until 1970. By 1970, 5,200 square miles in the Valley had subsided more than 1 foot. Between 1926 and 1970, a maximum of 29.7 feet of subsidence was measured at a point southwest of Mendota. The compacting forces caused by groundwater level decline squeezed more than 15.6 million acre-feet of water out of valley sediments during the same period.

There are two types of land subsidence due to withdrawal of groundwater resources; elastic and inelastic. Elastic subsidence is not permanent and is largely reversible, if water levels recover to above historic low levels. Recent studies indicate that current subsidence west of the plan area is primarily elastic in nature, and will likely not be inelastic until water levels fall below historic low levels. Inelastic subsidence is permanent and occurs when water is removed from a confined aquifer for the first time,

and is sometimes referred to as virgin subsidence. Between the mid-1920's to about 1980 the San Joaquin Valley experienced inelastic, non-recoverable subsidence.

The most recent reports on land subsidence in the San Joaquin Valley were completed by R.L Ireland of the USGS in 1986 and Arvey A. Swanson of DWR in 1995. Ireland (1986) states that "Land subsidence to groundwater withdrawal in the San Joaquin Valley that began in the mid-1920's and reached a maximum of 29.7 feet in 1981 has been halted by the importation of surface water through major canals and the California Aqueduct in the 1950's through 1970's." This was generally true at the time, because large scale regional subsidence had halted, but smaller-scale local subsidence continued in many areas.

Poland and others (1975) estimated that cumulative non-recoverable land subsidence from 1926 to 1972 in the vicinity of Tranquility was on the order of 8 feet. Their land subsidence contour map shows even higher subsidence (12 feet over the period of record) west of the plan area.

As land subsidence is a function of groundwater pumpage and recharge, it is linked to drops in groundwater levels. DWR Bulletin 118 states that from 1970 to 2000 groundwater levels have increased by an average of 2.2 feet in the Delta-Mendota subbasin. **Figure 5**, discussed below in Section 2.4, is a DWR hydrograph for well 15S16E21Q001M showing that water levels fell by nearly 75 feet from 1939 to 1971, from 1970 to 2000 water levels have been fairly stable, with changes based on surface water allocations. This hydrograph is in general agreement with DWR Bulletin 118, and, since Poland and others (1975) show a direct correlation between subsidence and pumpage, the rate of permanent inelastic subsidence in the Tranquility area likely has slowed.

In a 1996 draft memo, DWR indicated that from 1975 to 1992 subsidence occurred primarily in drought years when groundwater supplies replaced surface water supplies. The most recent record of land subsidence in the area is from Swanson (1995), were he indicates that 2 feet of subsidence occurred along the Outside Canal near Mendota Dam between the years of 1970 and 1994. However, it is not known how much of the 2 feet of subsidence reported by Swanson was residual subsidence from times of high pumpage from the mid-1920's to 1981. Data from 6 extensometers located west of the plan area indicates that subsidence in the plan area since about 1977, which probably indicates that subsidence in the plan area since about 1977 has been elastic in nature, and will not be permanent subsidence until water levels fall below historic low levels.

Groundwater Basin

TID and FSWD are located in the Delta-Mendota subbasin of the San Joaquin Valley Basin which is part of the San Joaquin River Hydrologic Region (Figure 4). In fact, TID is the southernmost extension of the Delta-Mendota subbasin of the San Joaquin Valley Basin. According to DWR Bulletin 118 (2003), the San Joaquin

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

Valley region is heavily reliant on groundwater with up to 30 percent of agricultural and urban supplies coming from the underground aquifers. Bulletin 118 also identifies eleven basins as being in critical conditions of overdraft. The San Joaquin Valley basin and the Delta-Mendota subbasin are **not** included on the list of basins/subbasins identified as being in a state of critical overdraft.

Local Topography

TID and FSWD lie in the trough of the San Joaquin Valley. Prior to cultivation, the land was swampy and frequently flooded. The ground elevation is from 160 to 175 feet and most of the original micro-relief has been leveled for agricultural purposes. Soils in the area reflect low-lying topographic basins and formed on nearly level, imperfectly drained surfaces subject to frequent flooding and over flow.

Based on topographic maps, the direction of fall is predominately from south to north at about 1 foot per mile. The total fall in that direction is about 14 feet from the northern to southern boundary of the plan area. From east to west the plan area is relatively flat with little slope. The topography results in the need for a series of lift pumps in the TID conveyance/distribution system to provide water service to higher elevation lands.

East of the plan area, the outer edges of the San Joaquin alluvial fan slope southwesterly at 5 feet per mile, while the outer edge of the Westside alluvial fans terminate approximately one mile west of the TID boundary.

2.2 - Stratigraphy

The following discussion focuses on significant hydrogeologic units that could have an impact on the groundwater resources within TID and FSWD. A cross section of the major stratigraphic features in the plan area is shown on Figure 6. Most of the information specific to this area is derived from a 1955 USBR report by Dan Sokol. The lower limit of the following discussion is based on the approximate base of the effective groundwater reservoir as defined by Sokol (1955), which is approximately midway through the Tulare Formation and dips southwesterly beneath the plan area from about 1,000 feet bgs near the eastern boundary to about 1,700 feet along the southwestern boundary. From the surface to a depth of approximately 1.700 feet bgs important hydrogeologic units are topsoil, alluvial fan deposits of eastside (Sierran origin), lacustrine clays including the A clay and the Corcoran clay (E clay), alluvial deposits beneath the Corcoran clay, and fan deposits of Westside origin. With the exception of the near surface, recent, alluvial deposits the entire stratigraphic section of interest is within the Tulare Formation. Depth to bedrock is too deep under the plan area to impact groundwater conditions and therefore will not be discussed here.

Topsoils

According to Sokol (1955) the entire plan area (with the exception of a minor percentage of Fresno Slough Water District along the extreme western border mapped

as West side inactive alluvial fan) is mapped as Basin sediments. As described above soils in the plan area have formed on relatively flat, poorly drained, and frequently flooded platforms indicative of soils found along the topographic axis of the San Joaquin Valley (**Figure 3**). The NRCS soil survey data indicates that soil slope ranges from 0 to 1 percent.

The two soil associations in the plan area are generally described as follows:

- 1) Soils on Basin Floor and Flood Plain: Tachi-Armona-Wekota Association. This association is characterized by very deep, nearly level, very poorly drained and poorly drained, saline-sodic soils formed in alluvium from igneous and/or sedimentary rocks on the west side of the San Joaquin River and Fresno Slough. Soils in this Association that occur in TID include Alta Slough clay, Gepford clay, Tachi clay and Lillis clay soils. These soils cover about 10,153 acres in TID or about 94 percent of the land surface.
- Soils on Fan Skirts: Tranquillity-Ciervo, saline-sodic Calflax Association. This association is characterized by very deep, nearly level, somewhat poorly and moderately well drained, saline-sodic soils formed on alluvium from calcareous sedimentary rock on the western edge of the basin floor. Soils in this Association that occur in TID and FSWD include Tranquillity-Tranquillity, wet, complex saline-sodic and Calflax series soils. These soils cover about 597 acres in TID or about 6 percent of the land surface.

These soils as mapped by the NRCS have potential limitations such as restricted permeability and native salinity and sodicity. These issues have been addressed by soil reclamation, and ongoing soil and irrigation management approaches. The TID irrigation conveyance/distribution system was designed with consideration for these potential limitations and they do not have an effect on TID water system operation and management.

Subsurface Geology

Sokol (1955) provided the most focused and detailed descriptions of the subsurface geology in the plan area. The following discussion on subsurface geology is based on the descriptions found in the Sokol's report.

Alluvial Fan Deposits of Eastside (Sierran) Origin

Alluvial fan deposits above the Corcoran clay are predominately of eastside origin and comprise lenticular beds of sands and silts derived primarily from granitic rocks with rare clay laminae. The sands vary from fine to medium-grained sizes and coarse sands and gravels are rare. The deposits generally fine westward and fine-grained deposits dominate in the western portion of the area. This alluvial sequence occurs from the surface to depths of 500-600 feet bgs. These sediments, while all Sierran fluvial represent three distinct environments of

deposition. Clays and silt/clay mixtures represent deposition in lakes or marshes, well sorted sands and silts represent deposition in water with current such as streambeds or lake beaches, and poorly-sorted silt and clay fractions indicate floodplain origins.

The A clay is one of seven recognized lacustrine clay beds in the San Joaquin Valley (Figure 6). It was deposited in a widespread lake and is found almost continually beneath the topographic axis of the valley. While not comprised of alluvium of eastside origin, stratigraphically it is located within this unit, and thus is discussed here. The top of it is often the base of the perched or shallow ground water zone. The A clay, as mapped by USGS (1972), extends under the majority of the plan area with the exception of the extreme southern and western portions (Figures 6). The base is about 60 to 75 feet beneath the land surface and generally it is between 5 to 70 feet thick. Structure contours drawn on the base of the A clay indicate that it is relatively flat beneath the majority of the area. The A clay is an aquitard, not yielding significant water to wells, and in fact is a perching layer stopping the downward migration of water from the surface. This barrier to the vertical movement of groundwater has produced a zone of shallow perched groundwater with elevated salt content, discussed below in the water quality section.

Alluvial Deposits Beneath The Corcoran Clay

Beneath the Corcoran clay a series of granitic sands, silts, and occasional clays extends to depths greater than 3,000 feet. These sediments were deposited by alluvial fans debauching from the Sierra Nevada Mountains and resemble beds of similar origin above the Corcoran clay, but are texturally coarser grained. This unit contains the base of the effective groundwater reservoir, as described below. Most new wells drilled in the area are completed in these deposits. Water quality in this zone is discussed below but generally is of much better quality than water above the Corcoran clay.

Corcoran Clay

The Corcoran Clay, also known as the E clay, is a lacustrine clay bed of lake or swamp origin that effectively underlies the entire plan area. The Corcoran clay has long been recognized as the most significant subsurface deposit in the San Joaquin Valley confining water beneath it. It is the upper most boundary of the confined aquifer and the lower most boundary of the unconfined aquifer. Structure contours drawn on the base show it to be about 560 to 620 feet beneath the surface in the plan area. The structure contours reveal the structure of the clay as a southeast dipping syncline with about 40 feet of dip from the northwest to southeast beneath the area. The thickness is between 50 to 130 feet and it thickens westward. Using an average thickness of 80 feet, the top of it is approximately 480 to 540 feet beneath the surface with the deepest depths found in the southeast part of the plan area. On well completion reports it is commonly described as blue or green clay, claystone, or siltstone. The Corcoran Clay has

been described as greenish-grey, dense, compact, and non-laminated claystone or siltstone. The bottom 20 feet is usually silty and it is a characteristic marker on E logs. A few scattered sand lenses exist and in the eastern portions of the area can make up as much as 30 percent of the clay sequence.

Alluvial Deposits of Westside Origin

The plan area, being near the axis of the valley, has at times been dominated by deposition from the Sierra Nevada and at other times deposition from the Coast Ranges. Contemporaneous deposition from eastside and westside sources is shown in a drill hole located in 15S/16E, Section 17E at depths of 22 feet where Westside deposits overlie eastside deposits. Thus, Westside deposits overlap eastside deposits in the western portions of the area. West of the District a drill cored sediments of coast range origin to depths of 486 feet, under which granitic deposits of eastside origin were found. This indicates that while the sediments from the two sources occur and overlap within the plan area, the westside deposits thin and pinch out easterly.

2.3 - Aquifer Characteristics

Specific Yield

In order to establish the storage capacity of the underground reservoir it is necessary to derive estimates of the specific yield of the sediments. DWR Bulletin 118 estimates that specific yield in the Delta-Mendota basin at 11.8 percent, but does not give estimates of specific yield for the local Tranquillity area. Sokol (1955) derived estimates of specific yield for the upper water-bearing zone within TID. These values are based on specific yield estimates from two separate studies done in similar geologic settings. Sokol defined the upper water-bearing zone as the depth interval between 1948 static water levels in shallow wells and the top of the Corcoran clay (about 30 feet to 480 to 540 feet bgs). Sokol's computations show the average specific yield for TID is 8.9 percent for the sediments above the top of the Corcoran clay. Specific yield contours show a tongue of higher specific yield extends southwestward across the plan area corresponding to eastside alluvial sediments. Specific yields associated with this tongue of sediments range from as much as 22 percent in the northeastern part of the area to as low as 8 percent in the southwest part of the area.

Safe Yield

According to the TID's 2005 Water Management Plan prepared by RB Smith Consulting in 2005, the local aquifer has a safe yield of 2,500 AF/year. The TID 2005 Water Management Plan states that the aquifer beneath the area has a usable capacity (total potential storage) of 4,000 AF. In a memorandum prepared by Provost and Pritchard Engineering for TID the average groundwater pumpage for the period 1989 to 1999 was 2,984 AF/year, but that amount was considered greater than the perennial yield of the aquifer as evidenced by the 0.4 feet per year decline in water levels in well 14S16E33N01. The 2000 Tranquillity Irrigation District Water Needs Assessment-

Calculation of Past Beneficial Use, Current Conditions and Future Demand assumes 2,000 AF/year of groundwater pumped in 2025. The derivation of safe yield for the plan area is beyond the scope of this GMP, however based on the above estimates it is likely between about 2,000 and 2,500 AF/year.

Transmissivity

Transmissivity data for the TID area from the literature is sparse. A study by Davis et al., (1964) summarized numerous specific capacity values from Pacific Gas & Electric pump tests performed across the San Joaquin Valley. Using data from field tests in the TID area, they calculated specific capacities ranging from 44 to 85 gpm per foot. Driscoll (1986) provides an approximate relationship between specific capacity data and transmissivity. Using this method, transmissivity values for the District and immediately surrounding areas range from 66,000 to 127,500 gpd/ft. These values of specific capacity and transmissivity are probably valid for the unconfined aquifer, as at the time of the report most wells drilled in the area were most likely completed above the E clay.

Wells Yields and Depths

From 1939 to 2007 the number of agricultural and municipal wells monitored by DWR for an area encompassing TID and extending about 2 miles from the District boundary has varied. In 1939 the number of wells monitored was 6 and by 1962 the number of wells monitored had increased to a high of 31. Currently DWR monitors 3 wells in the area. Active irrigation and community wells within the District boundary are completed to depths of between 555 to 913 feet. There is one domestic well (not owned by the Districts) within district boundaries but the depth is unknown. According to DWR (2003), wells in the Delta-Mendota subbasin can have yields as high as 5,000 gpm averaging between 800-2,000 gpm. According to well logs and development records, active wells in the District have variable yields from 1,176 to 3,000 gpm averaging about 2,100 gpm. A summary of well attributes is included as **Attachment 1**.

2.4 - Groundwater Levels

Drainage Problem Area

TID and FSWD are included in a drainage problem area according to a multi-agency report entitled "A Management Plan for Agricultural Subsurface Drainage and Related Problems on the Westside San Joaquin Valley" (September 1990). However, the Districts have not experienced the shallow water table and water quality problems that occur in other districts included in the report. The Districts have implemented source control measures by requiring the retention of all surface drainage water on land that generates water. Due to the implementation and success of source control measures, subsurface drainage systems i.e. tile drains, have not been constructed on District lands. In addition, land retirement has not been an option exercised in the Districts, drainage water treatment and reuse are not occurring, shallow groundwater pumping is not practiced, and the District do not operate or contain evaporation ponds.

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

Groundwater Level Measurements

Limited long-term water level information is available for either District. In 1998 Twining Laboratories measured groundwater levels in 21 monitoring wells with depths ranging from 10 to 168 feet (average of 48 feet). This suite of wells and the 1998 Twining data give a fairly accurate snap shot of the perched and shallow groundwater levels in the area at that time. Groundwater depths in the shallow aquifer ranged from 8 to 62 feet bgs, but 8 wells were dry. In addition, DWR (2001) prepared a groundwater contour map showing the groundwater elevation in the plan area ranged from about 90 to 130 feet (**Figure 7**).

Figure 5 is the DWR hydrograph of well 15S/16E-221Q001M and is the most complete well hydrograph available for TID. The hydrograph was obtained from the DWR website. The website includes a graphical interface with hydrographs for other wells in TID and FSWD, and surrounding areas. Most of the hydrographs cover a shorter time period than the well depicted in **Figure 5**, but during periods of temporal overlap with 15S/16E-221Q001M, water level trends are consistent between hydrographs.

Figure 5 shows groundwater levels from about 1940 to 2002 ranging from about 20 to 100 feet bgs. The hydrograph shows a gradual steady decline in water levels until about 1971. The groundwater level then begins to rise but fluctuates substantially based on surface water supplies. For instance, during the prolonged drought in the early 1990's the groundwater level fell about 35 feet. The other hydrographs from the area show similar trends; falling water levels with the lowest water levels in the late 1960's through the early 1070's, followed by periods of water level recovery interrupted by the droughts of 1976-77 and the early 1990's.

Well 15S/16E-221Q1M is located in the southeastern corner of TID, but most of TID's historic pumping has been in the northern portion of the District near the Community of Tranquillity, and current pumping is concentrated in the northern portion of FSWD. As a result, future groundwater level monitoring is important so these long-term trends can also be established in and near the pumping centers. Further study is needed to identify historical low groundwater levels, and determine the effect that increased pumpage would have on water levels and the possible non-elastic permanent subsidence.

Groundwater Flow Direction

Based on groundwater contour map, groundwater in the area flows southeast across the plan area. Historical (1962 to 1999) DWR groundwater maps show groundwater flow direction has been to the south/southeast to east across the district towards a prominent groundwater depression that is primarily centered in the vicinity of Helm. In contrast to the recent groundwater maps, Sokol (1955) indicates that groundwater flows from the east to the west beneath the area. Sokol's interpretation was based on geo-chemical evidence and was probably valid for the pre-1955 time period. In addition, Sokol presents data and postulates that a

fault trending northwest exists in the subsurface about 2 miles west of the western boundary of the plan area. If this fault does exist it is distinctly local in nature, but could form an effective hydrogeologic boundary to subsurface flow entering the area from the west. As Sokol only postulates the existence of this fault, and presents arguments against it being a part of a valley wide fault system, further discussion is excluded here.

2.5 - Groundwater Quality

Groundwater quality and type in the plan area reflects the hydrogeological setting from which it originates. Sokol (1955) states that "a shallow zone of highly saline water is generally present in and near the trough of the Valley, and extends to depths as great as 250 feet." As described above, groundwater enters the District from the west primarily from the Diablo Range having flowed through the coalescing alluvial fans deposited along the flanks of the range. Waters that originate from the Coastal Ranges along the western side of the San Joaquin Valley tend to have elevated sodium. chloride and sulfate components. In addition, these waters tend to have higher TDS values than the surface waters being delivered to the District and Coastal derived groundwater is also considerably higher in TDS than water recharged from the Sierra Nevada. Specifically the native groundwater in the vicinity of Tranquillity is transitional in nature reflecting a mixture of coastal derived water and Sierran derived groundwater (Bertoldi, 1971). According to the District's 2005 Water Management Plan, surface water delivered to the District has between 470 to 555 ppm TDS, while groundwater is typically in the range of 800 to 1,000 ppm TDS. District wells are commonly abandoned due to corrosion of the casings and progressively worsening water quality. TID has water quality test results for surface water and about 11 active plan area wells. Those data are available to water users upon request at the District office.

Perched Groundwater Quality

Twining Laboratories (1999) sampled 9 shallow monitoring wells for irrigation suitability in the vicinity of Tranquillity. The results of their sampling shows that while variable, near-surface shallow groundwater quality is generally poor and unsuitable for agriculture or domestic use. Total Dissolved Solids ranged from 800 to 5,100 mg/L averaging about 2,400 mg/L. Specific conductance ranged from 1,700 to 6,100 uS/cm averaging 3,422 uS/cm. Sulfate and sodium concentration were also elevated in most of the samples, which are in agreement with USGS reports that indicate the dominant native groundwater type on the west side of San Joaquin Valley is sodium-sulfate to sodium-chloride in nature.

TID and surrounding areas were included in several studies on drainage impacted lands. These reports, completed by the USGS and a Federal-State Interagency Plan Team, are part of the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, which was established to understand and address drainage related issues mainly in the western part of the San Joaquin Valley. The SJVDP report identified several constituents of concern in the agricultural drainage water including selenium, boron, molybdenum, arsenic and salts. Although difficult to assess because of scale, it appears that at the time of publication

selenium concentrations in shallow groundwater in the vicinity of the District were between 50 to 200 ppb, boron concentrations were greater than 8 ppm, molybdenum concentration was from 100 to greater than 1,000 ppb, arsenic concentrations were less than 50 ppb, and salinity was between 5,000 and 20,000 uS/cm.

Unconfined Groundwater Quality

Irrigation suitability water analyses were obtained for Wells 20 and 21, both of which are perforated above the Corcoran clay (**Table 2.1**). The perforated interval for both wells is from 320 to 545 feet below ground surface making them ideally perforated to collect water samples from a zone not impacted from perched or near surface sources of water, and isolated from water occurring below the Corcoran clay. In addition, water chemistry information was obtained for the "Caywood-Olivera" well located near the corner of Clayton and James roads. This well is perforated from 360 to 560 feet below ground surface, again ideally constructed to obtain water chemistry information from above the Corcoran clay and likely not be impacted from near surface sources of water.

According to Bertoldi (1971) water type in the vicinity of Tranquillity in the unconfined zone—termed the upper water-bearing zone in his report—is transitional and ranges from sodium-chloride to sodium-sulfate and sodium-bicarbonate in nature. The concentrations of sodium (13.2 meg/L), sulfate (5.8 meg/L), chloride (4.8 meg/L) and bicarbonate (3.8 meg/L) for the Caywood-Olivera well support Bertoldi's findings indicating water in the vicinity of Tranquillity from above the Cororan clay is sodium-sulfate with high percentages of chloride and bicarbonate. This water type is probably transitional in nature indicating a mix of coastal and Sierran derived water.

The water chemistry results for Wells 20 and 21 also indicate transitional water types high in sodium (11.6 and 17.1 meq/L respectively) and chloride (4.6 and 6.6 meq/L respectively) with high percentages of sulfate (4.2 and 6.2 meq/L respectively) and bicarbonate (3.8 and 3.9 meq/L respectively). The Total Dissolved Solids for these wells ranges from 765 to 1,110 mg/l, which is in general agreement with Bertoldi who gives a range of TDS values for the area of between 500 to 2,000 mg/L for the upper water-bearing zone. In addition, Well 21 has high levels of iron (330 mg/L) and manganese (450 mg/L). Well 20 and the "Caywood-Olivera" well were not tested for these constituents.

Sub Corcoran Groundwater (confined)

In contrast to water above the Corcoran Clay, Bertoldi (1971) indicated that water below the Corcoran clay in the confined aquifer – termed the lower-water bearing zone – in the vicinity of Tranquillity is predominately sodium sulfate in nature with TDS values in the range of 500 to 1,000 mg/L. The chloride concentration at the time of publication was generally below 250 mg/L but sulfate concentrations were greater than 250 mg/L. Water chemistry results were obtained for three wells within District boundaries that are only perforated below the Corcoran clay. These wells are Well 22 (perforated from 540 to 760 bgs), City Well 4 (perforated from 683 to 853 bgs) and City Well 5 (perforated from 663-703 to 773-903 bgs). Well 22 was tested for irrigation suitability in 2006. The

results from Well 22 are in agreement with Bertoldi having a TDS value of 890 mg/L and an electrical conductivity of 1260 uS/cm. The water from Well 22 is sodium sulfate in nature with elevated concentrations of sodium (261 mg/L or 11.4 meq/L) and sulfate concentrations of 416 mg/L (8.7 meq/L). In addition, also in agreement with Bertoldi, the chloride concentration was low at 51 mg/L. City Well 4 was tested for selected constituents including arsenic, iron, manganese, sodium, sulfate, EC, and TDS in 2002. TDS was 720 mg/L and the EC was 1120 uS/cm. Sodium and sulfate concentrations were relatively high at 223 mg/L and 280 mg/L, respectively. In addition, iron concentration were low at 100 ug/L. City Well 5 was tested in 2002 for the same suite of constituents. EC and TDS values were 1,140 uS/cm and 740 mg/L, and sodium and sulfate concentration were very similar to City Well 4 at 221 mg/L and 333 mg/L, respectively.

Table 2.1 – Water Quality Summary

Well ID	Depth/ Perforations (ft)	Aquifer	TDS (mg/L)	¹ Dominant Water Type	³ Source
20	555/320-545	unconfined	765	NaCl	Seirran/Transitional
21	555/320-545	unconfined	1,110	NaCl	Seirran/Transitional
Caywood/Olivera	590/360-560	unconfined	864	² NaSo₄	Seirran/Transitional
City Well 4	863/683-853	confined	720	NaSo ₄	Coastal/Transitional
City Well 5	913/663-703, 773-903	confined	740	NaSo ₄	Coastal/Transitional
22	780/540-760	confined	890	NaSo ₄	Coastal/Transitional

Notes

Community of Tranquillity Water Quality

At this time TID, as the purveyor of water to the community of Tranquillity, is addressing high arsenic problems in City supply wells 4 and 5. Both of these wells are perforated below the Corcoran clay, which indicates that arsenic continues to be a problem there in the confined aquifer. Arsenic has a primary drinking water standard of 10 parts per billion (ppb). The standard was recently changed from 50 ppb to 10 ppb in 2006. For the years between 1998 and 2007 arsenic levels in the well water have exceeded 10 ppb, with the exception of 1999 which was not tested for arsenic, and typically reported values are about 15 ppb. The current regulations mandate treatment by year 2006. Over the same time frame from 1998 to 2007, manganese, sulfate, and Total Dissolved Solids, all with secondary drinking water standards, have elevated reported values or have exceeded MCL's. Secondary drinking water standard MCL's are for contaminants that affect taste, odor, or appearance. These contaminants - both primary and

Dominant Water Type is based on meg/L and is an indication of the waters source

^{2 –} The dominant water type for the Caywood Olivera Well Is Sodium Sulfate, but a high percentage of chloride is also present.

Water chemistry for the above wells indicates a mixture of Sierran and coast ranges derived waters. These water types are transitional in nature and are commonly found along the trough of the valley where subsurface waters from both sources mix. Wells 20, 21, and the Caywood-Oliovera Well all have relatively high percentages of bicarbonate which indicates a heavy influence on their water chemistry from a Sierran source. These water types are in general agreement with Bertoldi, 1971.

secondary - are source groundwater issues which will need to be addressed in the near future by the District. One of the options being considered is the feasibility of drilling wells in neighboring James ID and importing the water into TID for community water supply. TID is currently working with the Department of Public Health (formerly Department of Health Services) on identifying solutions to these problems.

The District's "Consumer Confidence Reports" can be found online at www.trgid.com. From 2000 to 2004, the District had monitoring violations for total coliform bacteria for 6 months over the 5 year period. To address the coliform bacteria positive tests, the District has been chlorinating the system continuously and also instituted a program in July 2001 to require air gaps and backflow prevention devices in those locations where tank filling occurs. The system has tested free of coliform bacteria since 2005. Therefore, the violations appear to be due to a compromised distribution system in some unknown location. The system continues to be chlorinated, but the question remains as to what is the cause of the positive tests and violations. One possible source could be leaking pipelines and the inflow of contaminants from the areas surrounding any leaking pipes. Other sources of possible contamination could be cross contamination between the rural private septic systems and leaking District domestic pipelines, or cross contamination between District domestic water and District landscape irrigation water pipelines in the community of Tranquillity. However, a leak test was performed in 2007 and found the distribution system to experience minimal leakage.

3 - BASIN MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

The District's Basin Management Objectives are listed below:

- Stabilize Water Levels. Stabilize average long-term groundwater levels to prevent the loss of groundwater reserves, and prevent the need for well deepening or the installation of new wells.
- 2. Increase Groundwater Storage. Increase groundwater storage through the development of groundwater banking project in other areas that have geologic conditions conducive to groundwater recharge and recovery. Develop a partnership in a groundwater bank that can store at least 10,000 AF for the Districts and recover up to 5,000 AF per year when needed.
- 3. Prevent Further Land Subsidence. Prevent further land subsidence that can cause a reduction in groundwater storage space and damage water infrastructure. Prevent land subsidence caused by groundwater withdrawals through efficient use of groundwater supplies and full utilization of surface supplies.
- 4. Prevent Groundwater Degradation. Prevent groundwater degradation by protecting groundwater through proper well construction and abandonment, proper use of agricultural amendments, importing clean high quality surface water, and preventing intrusion of poor quality groundwater from neighboring areas.
- 5. Comply with State Water Quality Standards. Comply with California Department of Public Health water quality standards for municipal water supplies either through treatment process or securing a cleaner water source.
- 6. Increase Knowledge of Local Geology and Hydrogeology. Increase knowledge of the local geology and hydrogeology through technical studies, subsurface investigations, water quality testing, water level monitoring, and land subsidence monitoring. Gain a better understanding of regional groundwater quality and flow conditions, and potential impacts to the Districts from surrounding water sources with poor water quality. Seek funding for these investigations through State and Federal grant programs.

Existing Activities

 All existing and on-going activities described in Sections 4-9 will be maintained, unless stated otherwise. (In Sections 4-9 the Existing Activities are not repeated under Planned Actions, even though they will be continued in the future).

Planned Actions

- All new policies and projects described in Sections 4-9 will be pursued, but their implementation will be subject to available funding and staff time.
- Manage local groundwater resources with an emphasis on meeting the GMPs Basin Management Objectives.

4 - STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

4.1 - Groundwater Advisory Committee

The TID Board of Directors is comprised of local landowners that are considered representative of the local growers. They are also already familiar with groundwater issues in the Districts. As a result, the TID Board of Directors effectively serves as a Groundwater Advisory Committee. The Board of Directors will be responsible for monitoring and evaluating the technical progress made in achieving the goals of this GMP.

Existing Activities

Assisted with the development of this GMP.

Planned Actions

The Board meets monthly, and will have a special groundwater session at least once each year. The Board will have the following responsibilities related to groundwater management:

- Review trends in groundwater levels and available information on groundwater quality;
- Evaluate the effectiveness of current groundwater management policies and facilities;
- Discuss the need for new groundwater supply/enhancement facilities;
- Educate landowners on groundwater management issues;
- Assess the overall progress in implementing the programs outlined in the Groundwater Management Plan;
- Recommend updates or amendments to the Groundwater Management Plan;
- Identify regional and multi-party groundwater projects; and
- · Review and comment on the Annual Groundwater Report.

4.2 - Relationships with Other Agencies

The Districts are located in the Delta-Mendota Groundwater Sub-basin, which extends beyond many political boundaries and includes other municipalities, irrigation districts, water districts, private water companies, and private water users (see **Figure 1**). This emphasizes the importance of inter-agency cooperation, and the Districts have historically made efforts to work conjunctively with many other water management agencies.

Below is a list of some agencies that the Districts have worked with in managing the local groundwater, and in regional water management:

- Kings River Water Association
- · Association of California Water Agencies
- Agricultural Water Management Council

- James Irrigation District
- McMullin Recharge Group
- San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority
- Tranquillity Public Utilities District
- I-5 Business Development Corridor
- Mendota Pool Group

A description of each of the organizations follows:

Kings River Water Association

TID is a member of the Kings River Water Association (KRWA), a 28-member group of water agencies that was formed in 1927 to administer and manage water uses on the Kings River. The benefits of KRWA membership include conflict resolution mechanisms, and improved coordination among member agencies. The KRWA opens lines of communication so that members can work together effectively to utilize, trade, and transfer waters from the Kings River. Through their membership in KRWA, TID has rights to divert Kings River floodwater

Association of California Water Agencies

TID is an active member of the Association of California Water Agencies (ACWA). ACWA fosters cooperation among all interest groups concerned with stewardship of the state's water resources.

Agricultural Water Management Council

TID is a member of the Agricultural Water Management Council (AWMC or Council). The AWMC was formed in 1996, following the work of an advisory committee formed by Assembly Bill (AB) 3616, Agricultural Efficient Water Management Act of 1990. The Council consists of members of the agricultural and environmental communities and other interested parties. The members have an expressed goal to voluntarily develop Water Management Plans and implement Efficient Water Management Practices (EWMPs) to further advance water use efficiency, while maintaining and enhancing economic, environmental and social viability and sustainability of soil and crop production. Members sign a Memorandum of Understanding that includes a comprehensive methodology by which each and every EWMP is analyzed and provides a consistent analysis by all participating water suppliers.

James Irrigation District

James Irrigation District (JID) is located along TID's eastern border. In 2007-2008 TID evaluated the feasibility of constructing pipeline interties from TID to FSWD and James Irrigation District. The study was completed in December 2008. The study evaluated seven different locations for connecting the districts. The interties could reduce conveyance losses and improve operational flexibility. In addition, if the Districts participate in James Irrigation District's planned groundwater bank, the interties would provide a mechanism for them to deliver and retrieve water from the groundwater bank.

McMullin Recharge Group

The McMullin Recharge Group (Group) is comprised of James Irrigation District, Mid-Valley Water District, Raisin City Water District, Tranquillity Irrigation District, Terranova Management Co, LLC., and Kings River Conservation District. The Group works cooperatively to investigate groundwater recharge projects in the area of the McMullin Grade, just east of the James Irrigation District, adjacent to the James Irrigation District Eastside Wellfield. The group members share information and the TID has acquired valuable knowledge of the local geology as a consequence of their participation. The Group completed a groundwater recharge study in 2004. The study found several sites that are conducive to groundwater recharge, but would have high operating costs.

San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority

TID and FSWD are member agencies of the San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority (SLDMWA), an umbrella organization for 32 water agencies in the Central Valley. The SLDMWA was established in 1992 and represents approximately 2,100,000 acres of federal and exchange water service contractors within the western San Joaquin Valley. San Benito and Santa Clara Counties. The SLDMWA serves the information and representation needs of its members by developing, providing, and disseminating information to legislative, administrative and judicial bodies concerning a variety of issues such as: Sacramento and San Joaquin Delta exports, water supply, water quality, water development, conservation, distribution, drainage, contractual rights. surface and groundwater management, and any other common interest of the member The SLDMWA also works with other governmental and public agencies to promote the common welfare of the landowners and member water agencies. In 2005, the SLDMWA prepared the Westside Integrated Water Resources Plan. The plan outlined a regional approach to managing water in the **SLDMWA** service area. The plan also identified numerous multi-agency water projects.

I-5 Business Development Corridor

The I-5 Business Development Corridor (I-5 BDC) is a non-profit corporation that includes the County of Fresno, three of its cities (Firebaugh, Kerman and Mendota) and the community of Tranquillity. Commonly referred to as the I-5 BDC, it is the first major business development district on the Interstate 5 Highway corridor in the heart of California. The I-5 BDC encompasses over 1,300 square miles and is home to more than 42,000 people. Information on the I-5 BDC can be found at this website: www.i5bdc.com

The I-5BDC was formed in 1994 to implement a strategic economic development plan designed for northwestern Fresno County. The plan is a blueprint for creating jobs and improving the quality of life in an area impacted by a significant downturn in agricultural employment. The I-5 Partnership works to attract new businesses to the area and assists existing businesses in solving their problems and achieving success. It receives

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

ongoing technical assistance from the University Business Center at California State University, Fresno.

The I-5 BDC provides regional services and benefits. Its goal is to become a center for the processing and distribution of food and fiber products and other compatible industries. The area eventually will constitute an "industrial cluster" for businesses with common technologies and markets (similar to the industrial cluster for the electronics industry known as Silicon Valley).

The I5BDS prepared a paper in 1998 entitled "Water Resources Assessment Plan for the Interstate 5 Business Development Corridor". The discussion paper was designed to provide a framework for the orderly assessment of water resources in the area and the long-term potential of those resources to serve the needs of the adopted economic strategy and the dependent communities of I-5BDC. The study identified the following as high priorities: 1) Need for a policy statement regarding water transfers out of I-5BDC; and 2) Compliance with drinking water standards.

Mendota Pool Group

The Mendota Pool Group has been responsible for monitoring surface water quality in the Mendota Pool, and coordinating water exchanges in the Mendota Pool. Both Districts have participated in these efforts.

Existing Activities

• On-going involvement with the agencies and associations listed above.

Planned Actions

None

4.3 - Plan to Involve the Public and Other Agencies

The Districts are already involved with many neighboring and regional agencies on groundwater management projects. Nevertheless, the Districts are always interested in building new relationships with other agencies that share the same groundwater basin. Some other agencies in the Delta-Mendota Groundwater Basin are shown on **Figure 4**.

The Districts will also strive to involve the public in groundwater management decisions. Additional cooperative relationships can be achieved through the sharing of data, interagency committees, interagency meetings, memorandums of understandings, formal agreements, and collaborations on groundwater projects.

Existing Activities

Conducted public hearings to discuss the content of this GMP prior to its adoption.

Planned Actions

Hold Groundwater Advisory Committee meetings that are open to the public.

- Provide copies of the annual groundwater reports to the public at their request. Notify the public of the availability of the annual reports on the TID website.
- Publish information on groundwater management accomplishments on the TID website.

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

5 - MONITORING PROGRAM

This section discusses monitoring of groundwater levels, groundwater quality, land surface subsidence, and surface water. Monitoring is considered critical to future management decisions, and the District's monitoring program is intended to:

- 1. Provide warning of potential future problems:
- 2. Use data gathered to generate information for water resources evaluations;
- 3. Develop meaningful long-term trends in groundwater characteristics; and
- 4. Provide data comparable from place to place in the District.

Well monitoring is performed in a series of dedicated monitoring and recovery wells throughout the Districts (see **Figure 9**). A summary of well attributes can be found in **Attachment 1**.

5.1 - Groundwater Level Monitoring

The Districts have performed periodic, but not consistent, groundwater-level monitoring at their agricultural wells. However, the Districts recognize the importance of a consistent monitoring program. As a result, they collected some baseline data in 2008 and plan to begin semi-annual water level measurements in 2009.

In 2008, TID measured groundwater levels in three wells on a weekly basis from late April to early September. This data helps to show groundwater level trends during an irrigation season. The Districts also have limited data that shows how annual groundwater levels vary with hydrologic conditions. Future monitoring results will be compared to this data and documented in annual Groundwater Reports. Due to the small number of wells a database will not be established, but rather data will be stored in a spreadsheet.

There are only a few wells in the District and these collectively do not provide sufficient data for generating reliable groundwater contours. However, the groundwater levels in each well will be monitored and compared to previous years.

Existing Activities

- Periodic groundwater level monitoring.
- Weekly groundwater level monitoring in the 2008 irrigation season.

Planned Actions

- Measurement of groundwater levels each spring and fall.
- Periodically review the monitoring network to determine if it provides sufficient areal coverage to evaluate groundwater levels.
- Protect wells in monitoring program from being abandoned.
- Encourage landowners and developers to convert unused wells to monitoring wells.
- Collect more detailed information on the attributes of each monitoring well.

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

• Prepare annual groundwater reports, which will include detailed evaluations of groundwater level trends (see Section 9.2).

5.2 - Groundwater Quality Monitoring

Groundwater quality monitoring is performed on City wells according to DPH requirements. In addition, all new agricultural wells are tested after they are completed. TID also performed weekly pH and TDS testing of numerous wells in 2008.

Groundwater quality-monitoring efforts have one or more of the following objectives:

- Spatially characterize water quality according to soils, geology, surface water quality, and land use;
- 2) Establish a baseline for future monitoring;
- 3) Compare constituent levels at a specific well over time (i.e. years and decades);
- 4) Determine the extent of groundwater quality problems in specific areas;
- 5) Identify groundwater quality protection and enhancement needs;
- 6) Determine water treatment needs;
- 7) Identify impacts of recharge and banking projects on water quality;
- 8) Identify suitable crop types that are compatible with the water characteristics; and
- 9) Monitor the migration of contaminant plumes.

Specific issues of concern are concentrations of arsenic, iron, manganese, and total dissolved solids, and the migration of poor quality water from the west.

Existing Activities

- Monitor city wells according to California Department of Public Health requirements
- Perform general minerals analysis on all newly constructed irrigation wells.

Planned Actions

- Regularly collect new water quality information from other agencies and review it to identify any impending groundwater quality problems.
- Protect wells in monitoring program from being abandoned.
- Prepare groundwater quality maps when sufficient information is available with the aid of a qualified hydrogeologist. Attempt to characterize groundwater quality with depth and provide the information to growers so they can use it when designing and installing wells.
- Measure electrical conductivity and pH at all wells on at least a semi-annual basis.

5.3 - Groundwater Monitoring Protocols

Monitoring protocols are necessary to ensure consistency in monitoring efforts and are required for monitoring evaluations to be valid. Consistency should be reflected in factors such as location of sample points, sampling procedures, testing procedures, and possibly even time of year when the samples were taken. Without such common

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

ground, comparisons between reports must be carefully considered. Consequently, uniform data gathering procedures will be practiced by the District. The District has developed new water level and water quality monitoring protocols, which can be found in **Appendix C**.

Existing Activities

• Follow California Department of Public Health guidelines for monitoring the domestic groundwater supply.

Planned Actions

• Use the District's new protocols when performing groundwater level and groundwater quality monitoring.

5.4 - Surface Water Monitoring

There are no surface water features in either District, except for the Fresno Slough on the eastern border of TID. Neither District directly monitors surface waters. Several other agencies monitor surface water sources that the Districts use, such as San Joaquin water and water in the Delta Mendota Canal. The Districts regularly review this data. In addition, both Districts store water in the Mendota Pool and participate with the Mendota Pool Group in monitoring the water quality in the Pool. The Mendota Pool Group began continuous and grab samples monitoring at numerous locations in Mendota Pool in 1993. In the fall of 2002, the Group began collecting monthly grab samples at the TID Intake in Mendota Pool.

Existing Activities

Regularly review surface water quality data collected by other agencies.

Planned Actions

Collect and test grab Samples at Fresno Slough No. 2 and Lift Station No. 1 once per week.

5.5 - Land Surface Subsidence Monitoring

Land subsidence results from excessive groundwater pumping beneath laterally extensive confining clay layers. The excessive groundwater pumpage results in water level declines in the confined aquifer and subsequent compaction of the fine-grained layers, and is evident at the ground surface as land subsidence.

Land subsidence has been monitored throughout the San Joaquin Valley for many years. The most serious subsidence occurred north of the Districts, but monitoring efforts have declined in recent years. The Department of Water Resources, Precise Survey Unit has continued to measure subsidence along the California Aqueduct in the winter of 1993-1994, with the most recent survey of Aqueduct completed in 2008. Very little quantitative data has been collected by others since 1970. In TID, there is a

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

benchmark on TID Lift Station No. 1 that is periodically resurveyed to check for land subsidence.

There is often a time delay in subsidence after groundwater withdrawals, so the District may still be experiencing subsidence, although at much less slower rates than about pre-1977. However groundwater levels can drop appreciably in extended droughts, which could lead to renewed permanent subsidence. On the other hand, it is likely that some of the land subsidence has been arrested with the importation of large volumes of surface water since the Districts established their surface water contracts. Lands within the District will be observed for land subsidence, and, if land subsidence becomes a problem, this Plan will be amended to include preventative and mitigative measures.

Existing Activities

Periodic surveys of the bench mark on TID Lift Station No. 1.

Planned Actions

- Periodic resurvey of control points and local benchmarks to check for land subsidence. The control points and local benchmarks will be checked relative to High Precision Geodetic Network benchmarks.
- Participate in any regional efforts to monitor and evaluate land subsidence.
- Increased water level monitoring, especially in know pumping centers.
- Establish bench marks for the purpose of monitoring land subsidence throughout the plan area, especially in pumping centers.
- Pursue funding to construct and operate a new extensometer within plan area boundaries.
- Offer locations in the plan area to the USGS, Plate Boundary Observatory, National Geodetic Survey, and Scripps Orbit and Permanent Array Center locations to establish Continuous Global Positioning System stations.
- Determine if the plan area is within the boundary of a recently funded USGS subsidence study using Insar satellite based technology, and keep informed on developments from the study.
- Perform a study to determine historic low groundwater levels, areas of know subsidence within the plan area, and future pumping centers.

6 - GROUNDWATER RESOURCES PROTECTION

6.1 - Well Abandonment

Proper destruction of abandoned wells is necessary to protect groundwater resources and public safety. Abandoned or improperly destroyed wells can result in contamination from surface sources, or undesired mixing of water of different chemical qualities from different strata. This is especially important in TID because part of the District has a confined aquifer.

The administration of a well construction, abandonment and destruction program has been delegated to the Counties by the State legislature. Many counties have adopted a permitting program consistent with Department of Water Resources Bulletin 74-81 for well construction, abandonment, and destruction.

The District will properly abandon their own wells when they are no longer useful. In addition, the District will encourage landowners and developers to properly abandon their own wells, or preferably, convert unusable wells to monitor wells so that they can become a part of the District's groundwater monitoring program.

Existing Activities

When possible, convert unusable production wells to monitor wells.

Planned Actions

When wells are destroyed, follow County and State standards.

6.2 - Wellhead Protection

Need for Wellhead Protection

Contaminants from the surface can enter an improperly designed or constructed well along the outside edge of the well casing or directly through openings in the well head. A well is also the direct supply source to the customer, and such contaminants entering the well could then be pumped out and discharged directly into the distribution system. Therefore, essential to any wellhead protection program are proper well design, construction, and site grading to prevent intrusion of contaminants into the well from surface sources.

Furthermore, since wells can be a direct conduit to the aquifer, they must be properly destroyed and abandoned or they will provide an unimpaired route for pollutants to enter the groundwater, particularly if pumping equipment is removed from the well and the casing is left uncapped. Well Abandonment is discussed in Section 6.1.

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

Wellhead Protection Policy

Wells constructed by the District will be designed and constructed in accordance with DWR Bulletin 74-81. In addition, the District will encourage landowners to follow the same standards for privately owned wells. DWR Bulletin 74-81 provides specifications for the following:

- Methods for sealing the well from intrusion of surface contaminants;
- Covering or protecting the boring at the end of each day from potential pollution sources or vandalism;
- Site grading to assure drainage is away from the well head; and
- · Set-back requirements from known pollution sources.

In addition, TID also installs fences around all of their wells.

Wellhead Protection Area

As defined in the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1986, a wellhead protection area is "the surface and subsurface area surrounding a water well or well field supplying a public water system, through which contaminants are reasonably likely to move toward and reach such water well or well field." Agricultural wells are only located in certain regions of the District (see **Figure 9**). The District will treat areas within one quarter mile of a well as a wellhead protection area.

Existing Activities

• Encourage local growers to incorporate proper wellhead protection into all new wells, and retrofit old wells with proper wellhead protection.

Planned Actions

 Provide wellhead protection on all newly constructed District wells according to County and State standards.

6.3 - Saline Water Intrusion

Underlying the base of the effective groundwater reservoir contained in the regional aquifer system is a zone of brackish, saline waters. These waters originated as connate sea water remaining in the deep valley sediments as the valley filled with younger sediments and the sea water was displaced by fresh water emanating from the Sierra Nevada to the east and the Coast Ranges to the west. The trapped brackish water occurs at a depth of between 1,000 feet bgs in the northeast part of the plan area and deepens to about 1,700 feet bgs in the southwest part of the area (Sokol 1955).

Upconing of saline water can be induced by deep wells that pump from near the saline-fresh water interface. Pumping freshwater by a well located above the transition zone can produce upconing, eventually salinizing the pumped water, forcing shut-off. Following the well's shut-off, the upconed saltwater mound undergoes decay, tending to return to the pre-pumping regime (Zhou, 2004). This

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

condition could occur in wells that are near or exceed the depth to the base of the effective groundwater reservoir.

Saline groundwater can also intrude the usable aquifer beneath the plan area through improperly destroyed or abandoned wells that have perforations or compromised casings within the shallow or perched aquifer. A comprehensive survey of the wells in the plan area would likely determine if improperly destroyed or abandoned wells exist.

Groundwater is also known to be high in salts to the west of the Districts. Saline water intrusion from this area is a concern, however the Districts lack a clear understanding of the geology and how this water migrates.

Currently, the District strives to prevent the importation of saline surface waters that could ultimately degrade the groundwater. When alternative water sources are available for importation, the District considers not only the cost but also the quality, including salinity, of the water. The District will evaluate all possible alternatives, and, when practical and feasible, select water sources with acceptable levels of salinity.

Existing Activities

None

Planned Actions

 Seek grant funding to study the geology and hydrogeology of the Districts western border, gain a better understanding of groundwater flow conditions into the Districts, and install monitoring wells and perform water quality testing.

6.4 - Migration of Contaminated Groundwater

Groundwater contamination can be human induced (anthropogenic) or caused by naturally occurring processes and chemicals. Anthropogenic sources of groundwater contamination can include irrigation, dairies, improper application of agricultural chemicals, septic tanks, industrial sources, stormwater runoff, and disposal sites.

No specific contaminant plumes are known to exist in the Districts. However, the groundwater does have high arsenic, iron, manganese and salt levels in some areas and at some depths. These are primarily a concern for municipal water wells.

The District will continue to review groundwater quality data from other sources and remain cognizant of the possibility of contaminated groundwater migration into DEID.

Existing Activities

 Regularly review data and reports from regulatory agencies on contaminant plumes to provide warning of potential future problems.

Planned Actions

None

6.5 - Groundwater Quality Protection

The District's surface water allocations cannot support their crop demand alone, and some groundwater will always be necessary. The groundwater, however, will have limited or no use if it has poor quality. Therefore, protecting the quality of the groundwater is a cardinal component of this GMP. Groundwater quality can be protected through proper use of pesticides, herbicides and fertilizers, stormwater quality management, septic system management, and water vulnerability planning and management.

Existing Activities

• Follow State Well Construction Standards (DWR Bulletin 74-81) for wellhead protection to protect groundwater quality.

Planned Actions

- Seek funding to improve security at District water facilities and reduce the potential for contamination from acts of vandalism or terrorism.
- Educate the public through outreach programs that explain how activities at the surface ultimately impact groundwater.

7 - GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY

During years with low surface water allocations, the Districts cannot meet all of their crop demands without pumping groundwater. Most importantly, the Community of Tranquillity relies entirely on groundwater due to a lack of facilities to treat surface water. Therefore, preserving the sustainability of groundwater is essential for the economic well being and livelihood of the growers and Community of Tranquillity.

7.1 - Issues Impacting Groundwater Sustainability

Issues of concern for groundwater sustainability in TID and FSWD are discussed below:

Regional Groundwater Pumping: Regional groundwater pumping to the west of the Districts, in Westlands Water District, and to the northeast of the Districts, in Mid-Valley Water District are expected to increase in time. Westlands Water District has faced serious reductions in surface water supplies and the growers are increasingly relying on groundwater. Large parcels in Mid-Valley Water District that were historically planted in annual crops have been converted to permanent crops, and as a result, these lands will require a relable supply every year, and there is little potential for fallowing the land in dry years. These two situations will place further strain on the regional groundwater supplies, and could cause intrusion of poor quality water from Westlands Water District.

Surface Water Curtailment. Curtailments to the major surface water supplies are currently occurring in the San Joaquin River and Sacrament-Bay Delta. These will both impact surface water supplies for the Districts and other regional agencies. A reduction in surface water could ultimately cause an increase in groundwater use and groundwater overdraft.

Due to problems with the California Delta smelt, there has been a recent reduction in pumping allowed from the Delta, which is affecting numerous water users throughout the State. The Districts CVP water supplies are directly impacted by these pumping restrictions.

The San Joaquin River Settlement will reduce diversions to many CVP contractors to help restore fisheries in the San Joaquin River. These reductions will not directly impact the Districts, but they will impact many other water agencies in the Central Valley and thus contribute to regional water tensions.

The Districts have a goal of fully recovering from these surface water curtailments through water management programs, including conservation, groundwater banking, and surface water storage projects.

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

7.2 - Overdraft Mitigation

Groundwater overdraft was a concern in the early part of the 1900's, which was the impetus for the development of the Central Valley Project. However, continued proper management is needed to maintain these stable groundwater levels, and, if possible, raise groundwater levels. Moreover, the Districts are concerned that Delta pumping restrictions may lead to groundwater overdraft.

The following groundwater management policies are also followed to help reduce groundwater overdraft:

Limitations on Pumping

The California Water Code gives water and irrigation districts the power to limit or suspend groundwater extractions. However, such limits will only be implemented if the Districts determine through study and investigation that groundwater replenishment programs, or other alternative sources of water supply, have proved insufficient or infeasible to lessen impacts to groundwater. In the unlikely event that it becomes necessary to reduce groundwater extractions, the Districts intend to accomplish such reductions under a voluntary program, which would likely include suitable incentives to compensate users for reducing their groundwater pumping. The Districts will not attempt to restrict or otherwise interfere with any landowner or water user exercising a valid right to pump and utilize groundwater.

<u>Limitations on the Exportation of Water Supplies</u>

The Districts generally do not support groundwater pumping for export unless it involves a transfer or exchange of water that will not reduce the total water supply available to the Districts. In addition, the Districts usually opposes surface water transfers that are accompanied with increased groundwater pumping used to replace the transferred surface water. However, such transfers will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis and will be permitted if they are approved by the Board of Directors.

Existing Activities

 Restrict groundwater exports from the District, unless they involve an equal exchange of surface water or are performed under special circumstances.

Planned Actions

None

7.3 - Groundwater Replenishment

The natural and artificial forms of groundwater replenishment in the Districts are discussed below:

Streambed infiltration. No streams pass through either District, but the Fresno Slough, a natural channel that conveys Kings River flood flows, is found along the

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

eastern border of TID and FSWD. Some seepage from Fresno Slough may benefit the Districts, but the volume of seepage has not been quantified.

Deep percolation from precipitation. Deep percolation from precipitation is probably negligible due to the clayey soils and low annual precipitation (7.4 inches).

Artificial recharge. Artificial recharge is not practical in the Districts due to the high percentage of clay in the soils.

In-lieu deliveries. The District views in-lieu deliveries as the most practical and effective means of groundwater replenishment. In-lieu deliveries, also called indirect deliveries, involve the delivery of surface water to landowners and water users who would otherwise have pumped groundwater, thus leaving water in the aquifer for future use. With the importation of up to 40,000 AF of surface water annually, plus an unknown amount of Kings river floodwater, the Districts are performing a significant amount of in-lieu recharge.

Deep percolation from irrigation. Deep percolation occurs when some of the water applied for irrigation percolates beyond the crop root zone and accumulates in the aquifer. The extent of deep percolation varies with the irrigation method, irrigation efficiency, soil type and antecedent moisture condition. Deep percolation from irrigation may not be significant due to the high clay content in many of the soils.

Seepage from distribution facilities. The seepage from distribution facilities is not known well, but it may be low due to the prevalence of clayey soils in the area.

Groundwater Inflow. Groundwater inflow may be the most important form of natural groundwater replenishment. With its location at the San Joaquin Valley trough, groundwater can flow to the Districts from the Coastal Mountain Range and Sierra Mountain Range. However, the amount of groundwater inflow is not precisely known.

Existing Activities

None

Planned Actions

 Investigate groundwater storage projects in other areas that will provide a stored water supply and reduce stress on the local groundwater resources during droughts.

7.4 - Conjunctive Use of Water Resources

Conjunctive use of water is defined as the coordinated use of both subsurface and surface water sources so that the combination will result in optimum benefits. The Districts use both surface and groundwater and regularly practice conjunctive use.

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

The region must absorb wet year water supplies in order to maintain a reliable and economical water supply. Wet-year water is available on short notice and not always at times when the water can be delivered for an irrigation demand. Therefore, it is important that the Region work cooperatively to increase its ability to absorb surface water when available.

When determined practical and appropriate, these policies below will be followed to encourage and facilitate conjunctive use of the District's water resources:

Transfers to Districts within the Same Groundwater Basin

When the Districts have surplus surface water to transfer, they will make all reasonable attempts to transfer it to other agencies within the same groundwater basin. This will be done since a reduction in pumping in the neighboring districts has a beneficial impact on TID and FSWD groundwater levels.

Regional Conjunctive Use Projects

Existing conjunctive use operations can be expanded by adding interconnections and promoting water supply exchanges between districts that allow for more flexibility in the region's water supply. The region's assets of federal, state, and local water supplies, dewatered groundwater storage, and significant irrigation demand make it an ideal location to regulate surface supplies conjunctively. TID has historically evaluated regional groundwater banks with the McMullin Recharge Group.

Existing Activities

None

Planned Actions

- Support the development of new surface storage and water supply projects that would permit the participants to better utilize surface water supplies.
- Investigate groundwater banking projects and facilities outside of the district.

7.5 - Water Conservation and Education

Water Conservation

Water conservation efforts in the Districts have included the following:

- 1. Use of flow meters on agricultural turnouts to bill water on a volumetric basis
- 2. Replacement of some canals with pipelines or compaction of canal bottoms to reduce seepage losses.
- 3. TID maintains historic water use records for each water user. TID values the usefulness of understanding historic water use to facilitate ongoing water conservation efforts and makes both electronic and archived water use records available to water users at any time.

- 4. TID policies allow the District to suspend water service to a water user should water waste occur. The policy also provides for a 10 percent surcharge on delivered water should over use occur and the District Board can require a water user to replace wasted or over used water at a 3 to 1 ratio. District policies regarding the wasteful use of water are described in Rules 4, 12 and 13 of the attached Rules and Regulations (Appendix B)
- 5. In the Community of Tranquillity, if a customer repeatedly wastes water, a flow meter will be installed at user expense and the customer charged by volume instead of the present flat rate. Thus far, the District has issued warnings to those wasting water, but no meters have been installed.

Water Education

Water Education efforts have included the following:

- TID maintains a website (www.trqid.org) for informing water users of important news, and educating them on water conservation and water quality issues. A copy of this GMP will be posted on the website.
- Several regional newsletters cover local water issues and are read by many of the Districts growers. These newsletters include the Westside Resource, the USBR Mid-Pacific Region Conservation Connection, and the Panache/Silver Creek Watershed Coordinated Resource Management and Planning Watershed News.
- TID sometimes includes inserts with water bills containing educational information.

Existing Activities

- The District encourages water users to use crop ET data as part of their water management plan.
- Monthly water statements include water use information for each customer.
- Bill inserts with educational information are sometimes included with water bills.
- The District maintains historic water use by turnout. This data is available to water users on request as it could be beneficial in making on-farm water management decisions.

Planned Actions

Install meters on drinking water system, when possible.

7.6 - Water Recycling

The Districts have not used recycled water due to the lack of a significant source in the area. Reclaimed water is generated by the City of San Joaquin and Community of Tranquillity. The City of San Joaquin sends their reclaimed water to percolation ponds on the TID eastern border. However, the ponds are still several miles from any District wells and they percolate water to the upper aquifer, which is not pumped by TID due to its poor quality.

The Tranquillity Public Utilities District (PUD) generates about 200 AF of wastewater each year. The water is currently sent to evaporation ponds for disposal. Only about half of the water, 100 AF, is available during the irrigation season when there would be demand for the water. The District's consider this volume to small to invest in facilities to divert and convey the water to their system.

Existing Activities

None

Planned Actions

• Remain cognizant of opportunities to purchase recycled water from other municipalities.

8 - GROUNDWATER OPERATIONS

8.1 - Well Construction Policies

Proper well construction is important to ensure reliability, longevity, and protection of groundwater resources from contamination. As a result, the District follows State standards, and has quality assurance procedures and design guidelines.

State Well Standards

The Districts require that all of their wells are constructed according to California State Standards. Department of Water Resources Bulletin 74-81 provides useful guidelines for the construction of groundwater wells. Proper wellhead protection is essential to ensure that contaminants do not inadvertently enter a well. Well construction policies that are intended to ensure proper wellhead protection are discussed in Section 6.2 – Wellhead Protection.

Quality Assurance Procedures

The following quality assurance procedures are followed when constructing District owned wells.

- Well construction will be performed under contract by a licensed and experienced well driller, in accordance with specifications prepared by a licensed engineer or geologist.
- 2. A licensed engineer or geologist will oversee construction of the wells.
- 3. Wells will be constructed according to guidelines in DWR Bulletin 74-81.

Well Design Guidelines

Some wells in the District have experienced corrosion problems. As a result, some wells are constructed with stainless steel casings. In addition, the wells are sometimes backfilled with cement from the top of the perforated pipe up to the annular seal (as opposed to being filled with gravel or drilling cuttings). The cement can help to stabilize the casing if it loses strength from corrosion. These guidelines are not used on all wells and depend on site specific conditions.

Private Well Construction

Individuals and growers do not need permission from the Districts to construct wells, but they are expected to follow State standards, and are encouraged to follow the quality assurance procedures and well design guidelines discussed above. There is a very limited demand for private wells since the District is able to supply the agricultural and domestic needs of the District residents. Private well owners also face some significant water quality problems that can discourage well construction.

Existing Activities

Construct wells according to DWR Bulletin 74-81.

- Construct wells using qualified and licensed contractors, engineers, and geologists.
- Design wells with appropriate corrosion protection.

Planned Actions

None

8.2 - Operation of Facilities

Groundwater facilities in the Districts include recovery wells and monitoring wells. The Districts regularly inspect and maintain these wells. In addition, the Districts also strive to provide the best facilities for delivery of surface water supplies, since they are used conjunctively with groundwater. Lastly, the Districts realize that the success of conjunctive-use programs is often contingent on the quality of surface water conveyance systems. For instance, if extraction wells are constructed then the distribution system may need local upgrades to allow delivery of the pumped groundwater to growers.

Existing Activities

- Regular inspection and maintenance of monitoring and recovery wells.
- Maintenance and upgrading of conveyance facilities for capacity and stability.

Planned Actions

None

9 - GROUNDWATER PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT

9.1 - Land Use Planning

The intent of this Plan is not to dictate land-use planning policies, but rather to establish some land-use planning goals that can aid in protecting and preserving groundwater resources. TID and FSWD do not have direct land-use planning authority. However, TID does have the opportunity to comment on environmental documents for land-use related activities. TID will attempt to work cooperatively with other agencies to minimize adverse impacts to groundwater supplies and quality as a result of proposed land-use changes. Some specific land-use planning goals include: (1) preserving areas with high groundwater recharge potential for recharge activities; (2) protecting areas sensitive to groundwater contamination; (3) requiring hydrogeologic investigations, water master plans, and proven and sustainable water supplies for all new developments; and (4) requiring appropriate mitigation for any adverse impacts that land use changes have on groundwater resources.

The Community of Tranquillity does not have land-use planning authority and land use planning throughout both districts is governed by Fresno County. Growth in the Community of Tranquillity may spur land use planning discussions. However, growth in Tranquillity is presently low and is limited by the capacity of their wastewater treatment plan. Future growth is expected to be negligible over the next few years.

The historic use of TID and FSWD land has been for the production of irrigated agricultural crops and that use is protected and supported by the current exclusive agriculture (AE) zoning designation. Land use changes would require action by the Fresno County Board of Supervisors or a change to the Land Use Element of the Fresno County General Plan. The actions necessary to allow land use changes in TID and FSWD are not anticipated.

Existing Activities

- Notify residents and agencies of projects that have the potential to impact groundwater within their sphere of influence.
- When appropriate, comment on environmental documents and land-use plans that have the potential to impact groundwater.

Planned Actions

None

9.2 - Groundwater Reports

The Districts have a goal to prepare groundwater reports every year to document groundwater levels, available groundwater storage, historical trends, and other important groundwater related topics. This information will be used to forecast future

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

problems, plan future groundwater projects, and develop new groundwater policies. Since historical groundwater data is currently limited, an evaluation of long-term trends may not be possible until several annual reports are prepared. The annual report will cover the prior calendar year and will be completed each year by April 30th. See **Attachment 2** for a report outline.

Existing Activities

 TID prepares a Water Management Plan every five years for the United States Bureau of Reclamation as a requirement to maintain their Central Valley Project water supply. The Water Management Plan includes sections on groundwater usage and groundwater projects.

Planned Actions

Prepare an annual Groundwater Report that will include the following:

- 1. Groundwater level data;
- 2. Groundwater contour maps and groundwater flow directions;
- 3. Groundwater storage calculations;
- 4. Evaluation of one-year and five-year historical trends in groundwater levels, contours, and storage, and perceived reasons for any changes;
- 5. Estimates of deliveries to recharge basins and banking projects;
- 6. Groundwater pumping volumes;
- 7. Summary of important groundwater management actions;
- 8. Discussion on whether management actions are meeting the management objectives;
- 9. Summary of proposed management actions for the future;
- 10. Summary of groundwater related actions taken by other regional groups;
- 11. Recommendations for changes in the content or format of the annual report;
- 12. Recommendations for updates to the GMP.

9.3 - Plan Implementation

Implementation of this updated GMP is expected to result in significant amounts of new knowledge and an achievable improvement in groundwater management in TID and FSWD. Attachment 3 includes an implementation schedule for this GMP from 2010-2014. The schedule does not include existing activities that will be continued; TID and FSWD will maintain all existing programs unless stated otherwise in this GMP. In addition, the schedule does not include proposed actions that are new policies or guidelines, which will be implemented on a continuous basis. Rather, the schedule only includes new tasks and projects.

9.4 - Plan Re-evaluation

The TID Board of Directors will be responsible for monitoring the progress in implementing the GMP objectives. The TID Board of Directors meets on the third Tuesday of each month to discuss district management and water supply issues. The TID Board will also evaluate the effectiveness of the GMP annually. As new policies,

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

practices, and ordinances become necessary or desirable to enhance the management of the District's groundwater supply, this Plan will be amended as necessary.

Existing Activities

None

Planned Actions

- Update the GMP at least every five years, or more frequently if deemed appropriate.
- Document recommendations for improving or updating the GMP in each annual Groundwater Report.

9.5 - Dispute Resolution

TID has detailed rules and regulations governing water conveyance, sale and management (see **Appendix B**). The rules and regulations are the heart of their dispute resolution process. The primary purpose of the rules and regulations is to help to prevent conflicts and disputes. For instance: the rules and regulations state:

"the following rules encompass many of the circumstances and conditions that can assist the District and its customers in finding common ground for continued operation and success."

The rules and regulations also outline district responsibility, water user responsibilities, and specific dispute resolution procedures.

In addition, TID's Resolution 03-11, Consolidated Requirements for the Administration of the Tranquillity Irrigation District Domestic Drinking Water system, states:

"Complaints of any kind against the District or any of its personnel should be made in writing to the manager promptly after acts complained of have occurred. Customers shall have the right to refer any complaints in writing or in person to the Board of Directors of the District."

There are few private groundwater wells in the Districts, so groundwater disputes with private landowners are unlikely. If disputes did occur they would likely be with neighboring water agencies. No groundwater disputes have occurred in recent years in the Districts to test their dispute resolution process.

Existing Activities

Resolve disputes through the District's general dispute resolution procedures.

Planned Actions

 Discuss issues of concern at the Board meetings in an effort to prevent future disputes.

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District

9.6 - Program Funding and Fees

Several alternatives are available to the Districts for funding groundwater projects, and are described below:

Water Replenishment Fees

Under AB3030, local agencies have the authority to limit groundwater extractions and implement water replenishment fees based upon the amount of water extracted (extraction based fees must first be approved by majority vote of impacted landowners). Inherent in these powers is the authority to implement metering of private wells. These are considered measures of last resort and TID and FSWD will make any and all efforts to ensure the private, non-metered use of groundwater by the local growers continues.

Capital Improvement Fees

The Districts have the authority to finance capital improvement projects and collect repayment charges from the benefited parties. This process would require a favorable vote from the constituency, and is considered a realistic alternative for large capital projects, such as groundwater recharge or banking projects.

Grants and Loans

The District will pursue available grants and low-interest loans from the Department of Water Resources as well as other State and Federal agencies. The District realizes that funding from State and Federal agencies for groundwater projects will be partially based on their progress in implementing this GMP.

Other Revenue Sources

Groundwater projects can also be financed through water user fees that are collected regularly from all district landowners.

Exiting Activities

 Regularly research grant and loan opportunities from the State and Federal government.

Planned Actions


 Identify beneficial groundwater projects that become economically feasible when costs are shared among two or more participants. This will be done primarily with James Irrigation District, and the agencies in the Westside Regional Water Management Plan.


10 - REFERENCES


- Bertoldi, G. L., Chemical Quality of Water in the Dos Palos-Kettleman City Area, San Joaquin Valley, California, US Geological Survey Open File Report, 1971.
- 2. California Department of Water Resources, *Bulletin No. 74-81 Water Well Standards: State of California*, 1981.
- 3. California Department of Water Resources, California's Ground Water, Bulletin 118 (Update 2003), 2003.
- 4. California Department of Water Resources, San Joaquin Valley Subsidence Monitoring Program Draft, June 1996.
- 5. California Resources Agency and US Department of the Interior, A Management Plan for Agricultural Subsurface Drainage and Related Problems on the Westside San Joaquin Valley, September 1990.
- 6. California State Senate, Senate Bill No. 1938, Chapter 603, Groundwater Management: State Funding, 2002.
- 7. Davis, G. H., Lofgren, B. E. and Mack, S., Use of Ground-water Reservoirs for Storage of Surface Water in the San Joaquin Valley, California, US Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1618, 1964.
- 8. Driscoll, F. G., Groundwater and Wells, 2nd Edition, 1986.
- 9. Geoconsultants, Inc., Summary Report Magnetotelluric Profile Demonstration Survey Tranquillity Irrigation District, 1994.Interstate 5 Business Development Corridor, Water Resources Assessment Plan for the Interstate 5 Business Development Corridor, Inc., April 1998.
- Ireland, R. L., Poland, J. F., and Riley, F. S., Land Subsidence in the San Joaquin Valley, California, as of 1980, U.S. Geological Survey Prof. Paper 497-I, 1984.
- 11. Kings River Conservation District, 2003 Groundwater Report, Kings River Conservation District, 2004.
- 12. Poland, J. F., Lofgren, R. L., Ireland, R. L., and Pugh, R. G., Land Subsidence in the San Joaquin Valley, California, USGS Professional Paper 437-H, Studies


- of Land Subsidence prepared in cooperation with the California Department of Water Resources, 1975.
- 13. Provost & Pritchard Engineering Group, Inc., Application for an Infrastructure Rehabilitation Program Feasibility Study Grant for Tranquillity Irrigation District, December 2003.
- 14. Provost & Pritchard Engineering Group, Inc. Tranquillity Irrigation District Water System Leak Detection, Inventory and Rehabilitation Study, November 2007.
- 15. RB Smith Consulting, Water Management Plan for Tranquillity Irrigation District, March 2005.
- 16. San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority, 2005 Westside Integrated Water Resources Plan, 2005.
- 17. Sokol, Dan, United States Bureau of Reclamation, *Tranquillity Irrigation District, Geology, Chapter III*, February 1955.
- 18. Stoddard & Associates, 2001 Subsidence Survey of the Outside Canal and the Delta-Mendota Canal, August 2001.
- 19. Thomson West, California Water Code, 2003 Desktop Edition, Chapter 3 Groundwater Management Plans, 2003.
- 20. Tranquillity Irrigation District, Consumer Confidence Reports, 2000-2007.
- 21. Tranquillity Irrigation District, Resolution 03-11, Consolidated Requirements for the Administration of the Tranquillity Irrigation District Domestic Drinking Water System, 2003.
- 22. Tranquillity Irrigation District, Rules and Regulations of Tranquillity Irrigation District, 1993.
- 23. Twining Laboratories, Inc., *Monitor Well Inventory and Sampling Tranquillity Irrigation District*, January 1999.
- 24. United States Geologic Survey, Data for Wells in the Dos Palos Kettleman City Area, San Joaquin Valley, California, 1970.
- 25. United State Geological Survey, Geology of the Fresh Ground-Water Basin of the Central Valley, California, with Texture Maps and Sections, Professional Paper 1401-C, 1986.


- 26. United States Geological Survey, Subsurface Geology of the Late Tertiary and Quaternary Water-Bearing Deposits of the Southern Part of the San Joaquin Valley, California, Water Supply Paper 1999-H, 1972.
- 27. Water Resources and Information Management Engineering, Inc., Kings River Conservation District Lower Kings Basin Groundwater Management Plan Update, April 2005.
- 28. Zhou, Q., Bear, J., and Bensabat, J., Saltwater Upconing and Decay Beneath a Well Pumping above an Interface Zone, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, University of California, Paper LBNL 55486, 2004.























S11/2009 Npmediationg_dgn\Clients\Tranquillity!D-10/75/Projects\1075-08C1 GW Mang Plan\GISWaptvacc_ctsy_layera.mxd



Tranquility Irrigation District Wells Located in Tranquility ID, Fresno Slough Water District, and Community of Tranquility.

				Diameter	Casing						
Well #	State ID#	Location	Location (Lat. Long.)	Casing (IN)	Material	Depth	Perforated Interval Date Constructed	Date Constructed	Capacity (GPM)	Horse Power*	Notes
Irrigation											
19	T155 R16E Sec. 5	SW Jefferson & James	4		,		295-535	1993	1800-2800	100	
20	T155 R16E Sec. 9	50' N. Levee Rd & 75' W. Colorado	•	18 5/8	5/16" Steel	555	320-545	4/13/1995	1500-2500	,	
21	T155 R16E Sec. 9	1/4 Mi. S. Morton & 80' S. Colorado		18 5/8	5/16" Steel	555	320-405, 425-545	4/12/2000	3000	250	
22	T145 R16E Sec. 31	1 Mi. N. American & 1/2 Mi. E. Calaveras		18	5/16" Steel	780	540-760	7/1/2003		300	
23	T145 R16E Sec. 31	2538' E. Alpine & 2823' N. American	36.40.133 N & 120 15 677 W	18	5/16" Steel	260	540-760	5/30/2007	,	200	
24	1195 R16E Sec. 31	NW of American & Alpine		18	•	780	540-760	4/29/2008		200	No Motor Installed Yet
25	T145 R16E Sec. 31	NW of Well 24		18		780	540-760	4/24/2008		250	No Motor Installed Yet
26	T145 R16E Sec. 31	1.46 Mi N. Jefferson & 1 Mile W. Amador	36.40.545 N & 120.16.757W	18	5/16" Steel	780	540-760	7/25/2008	ř		Private Ag Well
Municipal											
CW #4	T155 R16E Sec. 8	SE James & Jefferson		16, 14	Steel & SS	862.5	683-853	9/16/1970	1176	100	City Well
CW #5	T155 R16E Sec. 5	80' S. Colorado & 1000' E. James		16, 14	Steel & SS	913	663-703, 773-903	8/24/1980			City Well
Domestic											
DW#1	T155 16E Sec. 19	SE Masters & Marin						-			

TRANQUILLITY IRRIGATION DISTRICT AND FRESNO SLOUGH WATER DISTRICT 2009 ANNUAL GROUNDWATER REPORT

Table Of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 District Overview
- 1.2 Report Objectives

2. GROUNDWATER MONITORING

- 2.1 Groundwater Levels
- 2.2 Groundwater Flow
- 2.3 Groundwater Storage
- 2.4 Groundwater Quality
- 2.5 Monitoring Protocols

3. GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT

- 3.1 Groundwater Advisory Committee
- 3.2 Groundwater Ordinances and Policies
- 3.3 Groundwater Management Plan
- 3.4 Groundwater Banking and Recharge
- 3.5 Groundwater Studies and Investigations

4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 4.1 Conclusions
- 4.2 Recommendations

Tables

Groundwater Elevations
Depth to Groundwater

Figures

District Map Well Location Map Groundwater Elevation Contours Depth to Groundwater Contours

APPENDICES

A - Well Hydrographs

Tranquillity Irrigation District and Fresno Slough Water District Groundwater Management Plan Implementation Schedule

e 2014 e 2013 N ന 2012 ~ 2011 ~ m 2010 N 2009 Discuss Groundwater Banking Opportunities in Construct Intertie with James Imgation District Investigate Groundwater Banking Project with McMullin Recharge Group Groundwater Advisory Committee meetings Investigate Groundwaler Banking Opportunities in Fresno Irrigation District Update Groundwater Management Plan Prepare Annual Groundwater Reports Groundwater Quality Testing Task¹ James Imgation District Measure Water Levels Task No. o ന 4 2 9 00

- 1 Only proposed new projects are shown in this schedule. Existing and on-going projects are not shown. Also, new policies and guidelines that will be implemented on a continuous basis are not shown. 2 Implementation of these projects may depend on the Districts success in securing grant funds.

TRANQUILLITY IRRIGATION DISTRICT FRESNO SLOUGH WATER DISTRICT

GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

APPENDIX A - PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

WEST SIDE ADVANCE

14693 W. Whitesbridge Ave. P.O. Box 336

Kerman, CA 93630

Telephone: 559-846-6689

This space is for the County Clerk's Filing Stamp

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

County of Fresno,

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the West Side Advance, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published weekly in the City of Kerman, County of Fresno, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Fresno, State of California, under the date of February 9, 1956, Case Number 45745; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following date, to-wit:

February 4, 11

all in the year 20 <u>09</u>. I Certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Kerman, California this

Dated at Kerman, Cantornia uns___

20 09

Signature

Proof of Publication

PUBLIC NOTICE

Tranquillity
Irrigation District
Resolution 2008-09

For Intention To Prepare
A Groundwater Management
Plan

WHEREAS, the Culiformia Water Code permits the adoption and implementation of Groundwater Management Plans to encourage authorized local agencies to manage groundwater resources within their service areas; and

WHEREAS. Fresno Slough Water District has agreed to jointly prepare a Groundwater Management Plan with Tranquillity Irrigation District and allow Tranquillity Irrigation District to serve as the lead agency for the plan; and

WHEREAS, preparing a Groundwater Management Plan is in furtherance of and consistent with the Districts' goals and objectives and will be in the best interests of the Districts' lundowners and water users; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on December 16, 2008, to discuss preparing a Groundwater Management Plan:

NOW THEREFORE BE TT RESOLVED, by the Board of Directors that it is the intention of the Districts to prepare a Groundwater Management Plan In accordance with Senate Bill No. 1938, that this resolution shall be deemed a resolution of intention in accordance with California Water Code Section 10753.2 and that the Board hereby authorizes its officers to exceute all documents and take any other action necessary or advisable to carry out the purposes of this resolution.

PASSED AND ADOPT. ED at a meeting of the Board of Directors of the Tranquillity Irrigation, District on December 16, 2008.

The Board of Directors adopted the foregoing at a regular meeting on a voice count as follows:

Approval: Ayes = 3
Date: December 16,

Signed :President: Jerry Salvador

Attested : Elizabeth Reeves, Secretary

0522 WSA 5-6

TRANQUILLITY IRRIGATION DISTRICT

RESOLUTION 2008-09

FOR INTENTION TO PREPARE A GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

WHEREAS, the California Water Code permits the adoption and implementation of Groundwater Management Plans to encourage authorized local agencies to manage groundwater resources within their service areas; and

WHEREAS, Fresno Slough Water District has agreed to jointly prepare a Groundwater Management Plan with Tranquillity Irrigation District and allow Tranquillity Irrigation District to serve as the lead agency for the plan; and

WHEREAS, preparing a Groundwater Management Plan is in furtherance of and consistent with the Districts' goals and objectives and will be in the best interests of the Districts' landowners and water users; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on December 16, 2008, to discuss preparing a Groundwater Management Plan;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Directors that it is the intention of the Districts to prepare a Groundwater Management Plan in accordance with Senate Bill No. 1938, that this resolution shall be deemed a resolution of intention in accordance with California Water Code Section 10753.2 and that the Board hereby authorizes its officers to execute all documents and take any other action necessary or advisable to carry out the purposes of this resolution.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a meeting of the Board of Directors of the Tranquillity Irrigation District on December 16, 2008.

The Board of Directors adopted the foregoing at a regular meeting on a voice count as follows:

(District Seal)

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE TRANQUILLITY IRRIGATION DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS

December 16, 2008

PRESENT: President Jerry Salvador, Director William Pucheu, Director George Ayerza, General Manager Danny M Wade, Counsel Mark Blum, WaterMaster Rod Wade, & Secretary/Treasurer Liz Reeves

ABSENT - none

Board President Jerry Salvador called the meeting to order at 8:37 am.

ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS TO AGENDA - None

MINUTES

The minutes of the regular meeting on November 18, 2008 were approved on a voice count. Director Pucheu motioned and Director Ayerza seconded the motion. Motion carried.

PUBLIC COMMENTS - none

PUBLIC HEARING – Preparation of Groundwater Management Plan Hearing opened at 8:40 am. None present, no comments – hearing closed at 8:40 am.

ENGINEERING

- a. Update on the SRF Grant the grant has been approved at the local office and the state. A request for more paperwork has been received from the state and will be taken care of right away. By the next board meeting it is expected that we will have a contract and a resolution ready. The question right now is whether or not the money will be there with the current economic conditions. The sampling program will start after the first of the year.
- b. Update on actions related to the EPA inquiry on Arsenic and non compliance we haven't heard back from the EPA with regards this matter and we have submitted the quarterly response as requested yesterday.
- c. Findings of the Interconnection study funded by the USBR Field Services program the draft documents will be ready for review next week. The interconnection between FSWD and TID is a 50/50 grant.
- d. CDBG the first grant for \$20k is done and needs to be signed. The second grant for \$155k is going to the county today and will bid out for the summer.
- e. Groundwater Management approval of **Resolution 2008-09** Intention to prepare Groundwater Management Plan was approved. *Director Pucheu motioned for approval and Director Ayerza seconded the motion*.
- f. Consider and take action to authorize the Board President to sign and execute both Community Development Block Grants in the absence of the General Manager. The first grant was in the amount of \$10,000.00 and then was amended to a total of \$20,000.00. The

- second is in the amount of \$155,653.00. Director Pucheu motioned for approval to authorize the Board President to sign and execute the CDB Grants in the absence of the General Manager. Director Ayerza seconded the motion.
- g. Consider and Take Action to authorize Grant application for Equipment/Improvements regarding the District's park in Tranquillity. A newly available Grant may be available for funding for equipment with a minimum application for \$100,000. The board unanimously approved checking into this grant. Also there are some other USBR programs that will be out in January. They are 50/50 grants; Conservation = \$300k, Planning = \$300k, and the Field Services Program = \$25k. The board approved looking into these grants as well.

SECRETARY-TREASUERER'S REPORT

The Cash Flow statement was presented for the month of November 2008 including the payments and balances on long-term debts. The Cash Disbursements were also presented for review. The receivables for both city water and irrigation water accounts were reviewed. Director Pucheu approved and Director Ayerza seconded the Treasurer's report.

CSDA 2009 dues – question was raised as to why we are with this organization and if we should continue paying dues. Board requested that we look into it and determine if we need to continue with this program. If not – we are approved to cancel membership.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Director Pucheu asked the other board members to come to the next SLDMWA meeting. He also advised that the rates at the Water Authority will be increasing by 15%. He talked about the Bay Delta Bypass Project which is an environmental study. The cost will be \$150 million which will be split between the State and Federal contractors. The numbers will be finalized in January.

COUNSEL'S REPORT

Delta Task Force has been assembled to determine how best to obtain more water for the Delta. The Sacramento Superior Court is seeking to put more land out of commission because of runoff that is polluting the Delta. They feel that it is a waste to put the water on the land that they feel isn't worth farming.

Newspaper Headline – State Supply cut by 50%. With regards the Delta Smelt study – requests are being made to look at other options like perhaps looking at the fish issues together rather than individually.

Approval for execution of the Real Property Waiver submitted by Bank of the West in connection with District lands leased to Jon Caywood. Director Pucheu motioned for approval to authorize the Board President to sign the Real Property Waiver on behalf of the District. Director Ayerza seconded the motion.

MANAGER'S REPORT - none

WATERMASTER'S REPORTS

- a. Water use irrigation and drinking water: Rod reported on the usage totals for the month of November, the well pumping, and the Mendota pool reporting.
- b. Rod reported on various maintenance projects; equipment and facility status, wells, pumps, mobile equipment, canals and distribution systems, weed control, buildings and other District properties.
- c. Fresno Slough Water District and TPUD water operations were presented.

CLOSED SESSION

a. Closed Session Pursuant to California Government Code Subdivision (a) of Section 54956.9

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL--EXISTING LITIGATION

Name of case: NRDC v. Bureau of Reclamation and others.

No reportable action

The Board adjourned @ 10:08 AM

The next regular meeting will be held 8:30 am on January 20, 2009 at the TID Office.

Respectfully Submitted,	Approved By,
Elizabeth Reeves,	Jerry Salvador,
Secretary/Treasurer	Board President

TRANQUILLITY IRRIGATION DISTRICT TRANOUILLITY, CALIFORNIA

REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS At the offices of the District

Tuesday Dec 16, 2008 8:30 AM

PROPOSED AGENDA

- 1. ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS AND ADOPTION OF THE PROPOSED AGENDA
- 2. MINUTES for the regular meeting on Nov 18, 2008 for review and approval.
- 3. PUBLIC COMMENTS Comments at this time are limited to items <u>not on this agenda</u> and within the Board's scope of responsibility. Speakers may be limited to 3 minutes.
- 4. PUBLIC HEARING Preparation of Groundwater Management Plan

5. ENGINEERING

- a) Update on the SRF Grant review and discuss activities for the previous month
- b) Update on actions related to the EPA inquiry on Arsenic and non compliance
- c) Findings of the Interconnection study funded by the USBR Field Services program
- d) CDBG update on the status for completion of initial valve replacement and update on the larger valve replacement program.
- e) Groundwater Management approval of Resolution 2008-09 Intention to prepare Groundwater Management Plan
- f) Consider and take action to authorize the Board President to sign and execute both Community Development Block Grants in the absence of the General Manager. The first grant was in the amount of \$10,000.00 and then was amended to a total of \$20,000.00. The second is in the amount of \$155,653.00.
- g) Consider and Take Action to authorize Grant application for Equipment/Improvements regarding the District's park in Tranquillity. A newly available Grant may be available for funding for equipment with a minimum application for \$100,000.

6. SECRETARY-TREASURER'S REPORT

- a) Cash Flow Statement.
- b) Accounts payable, and Accounts receivable past due irrigation accts.
- c) Reserve fund and asset status; cash and property, long-term debt status including; 92-1 Bond Payment, State Water Conservation Loan, and West America Loan.
- d) CSDA 2009 dues

7. DIRECTOR REPORTS

8. COUNSEL'S REPORT

- a) Status of legal matters potentially affecting the District
- b) Approval for execution of the Real Property Waiver submitted by Bank of the West in connection with District lands leased to Jon Caywood.

9. MANAGER'S REPORT

10. WATERMASTER'S REPORTS

Operations and maintenance – The Board will receive reports on all water operations in Tranquillity and TID Fresno Slough property as well as all related maintenance activities completed during the previous month.

- 1) Water use irrigation and drinking water.
- 2) Other maintenance; equipment and facility status, wells, pumps, mobile equipment, canals and distribution systems, weed control, buildings and other District properties.
- 3) Fresno Slough Water District and TPUD water operations.

11. CLOSED SESSION

 Closed Session Pursuant to California Government Code Subdivision (a) of Section 54956.9

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION Name of case: NRDC v. Bureau of Reclamation and others.

NOTE: The agenda items may not be presented in the order they are listed. Persons wishing to discuss an item that was taken out of order will have the opportunity to do so. All agenda items listed are considered to be discussion and/or action items. Materials related to an item on this agenda that are public documents and are submitted after distribution and posting of the agenda are available for public inspection in the District's office at 25390 W. Silveira Street, Tranquillity, during normal business hours. Documents that are public documents provided by others during a meeting will be available at the same location during business hours after the meeting.

Individuals needing special assistance in order to participate in this meeting should contact the District Secretary for such assistance or accommodation prior to the meeting.

Tranquillity Greigation District

Directors: Geo. Ayerza, Jr. Wm. Pucheu J.S. Salvador



Manager:
Danny M. Wade
Secretary/Treasurer:
Elizabeth Reeves
Legal Counsel:
Henry, Logoluso & Blum

Board Meeting Notice December 16, 2008

Jerry Salvador, Director]
William Pucheu, Director]
George Ayerza, Jr., Director]
Mark Blum, District Counsel]
Gentlemen,	
of Directors to be held on, Tu Irrigation District office, at 2	vill be a meeting of the Tranquillity Irrigation District Board nesday December 16th, at 8:30 AM, in the Tranquillity 5390 W Silveira St., Tranquillity, California. Enclosed is a and the minutes from the November 18 th board meeting for the meeting.

Sincerely,

Liz Reeves Board Secretary/Treasurer

WEST SIDE ADVANCE

14693 W. Whitesbridge Ave. P.O. Box 336

lerman, CA 93630

Telephone: 559-846-6689

This space is for the County Clerk's Filing Stamp

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

County of Fresno,

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the West Side Advance, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published weekly in the City of Kerman, County of Fresno, and which newspaper has been djudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Fresno, State of California, under the date of February 9, 1956, Case Number 45745; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following date, to-wit:

7/00 26, Dec. 3

all in the year 20 08. I Certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Kerman, California this 310

day of December

,20 08

Signature

Proof of Publication

Notice of Hearing on

Intention To Prepare Groundwater

Management Plan

NOTICE OF HEARING ON INTENTION TO PRE-PARE A GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR TRANQUILLITY IR-RIGATION DISTRICT AND FRESNO SLOUGH WA-

TER DISTRICT
NOTICE IS HEREBY
GIVEN that at 8:30am
on December 16, 2008
at 25390 W. Silveira St,
Tranquility, CA, a public
hearing will be held to discuss whether or not the
Tranquillity Irrigation District
and Fresno Slough Water
District should jointly prepare a Groundwater Management Plan in compliance with California Senate
Bill No. 1938.

Part 2.75 of Division 6 of the California Water Code permits the adoption and implementation of Groundwater Management Plans to encourage authorized local agencies to manage groundwater resources within their service areas.

Landowners within these agency boundaries and other interested parties are invited to attend the hearing. Opportunity for public questions and input will be provided at the hearing. In compliance with Water Code § 10753 4 (b), landowners and other interested parties who wish to participate in preparing the Groundwater Management Plan may do so by attending the hearing and indicating their interest or by submitting a written letter to Danny Wade, General Manager, Tranquillity Irrigation District, P.O. Box 487, Tranquility, CA 93668

> Danny M Wade General Manager

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE FRESNO SLOUGH WATER DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS

December 8, 2008 8:30 AM

PRESENT- President Anthony Carvalho, Director Nino Carvalho, Director Jerry Salvador, Counsel Mark Blum, Watermaster Rodney Wade, Manager-Secretary Elizabeth Reeves.

ABSENT- Director Danny M Wade

Chairman Anthony Carvalho called the meeting to order at 8:35 am.

AGENDA

The agenda was approved upon a voice count.

MINUTES

The minutes of the November meeting were unanimously approved on a voice count. Director Carvalho motioned and Director Salvador seconded the motion.

SECRETARY-TREASURERS REPORT

The financial reports for November were presented. The Treasurers report was approved on voice count. Director Salvador motioned and Director Carvalho seconded the motion.

WATERMASTER'S REPORT

Rod reported on the Irrigation water usage totals for the month; the well pumping, and the Mendota pool reporting.

MANAGERS REPORT

Manager Reeves requested the board to take action with regards the Groundwater Management Plan that will be for both Tranquillity Irrigation District (TID) and Fresno Slough Water District (FSWD). Motion was made to accept that TID and FSWD agree to jointly prepare a Groundwater Management Plan. TID will be the lead agency and will prepare resolutions and public notices on behalf of both agencies. Director Carvalho motioned for approval and Director Salvador seconded the motion.

COUNSELS REPORT

Counsel reported that there have been no changes in the status of legal matters affecting the District.

DIRECTORS REPORT - none

PUBLIC COMMENTS – None

FSWD Board Meeting Minutes December 2008

CLOSED SESSION

Closed Session Pursuant to California Government Code Subdivision (a) of <u>Section 54956.9</u> CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL--PENDING LITIGATION Name of case: NRDC v. Bureau of Reclamation and others.

(No reportable action)

There being no further business the Fresno Slough Water District Board adjourned the regular meeting @ 8:58 AM.

The next regular board meeting will be held February 9, 2009.

Respectfully Submitted,

Approved By:

Elizabeth Reeves Manager-Secretary

Board President

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE TRANQUILLITY IRRIGATION DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS

November 18, 2008

PRESENT: President Jerry Salvador, Director William Pucheu, Director George Ayerza, General Manager Danny M Wade, Counsel Mark Blum, WaterMaster Rod Wade, & Secretary/Treasurer Liz Reeves

ABSENT - none

Board President Jerry Salvador called the meeting to order at 8:35 am.

ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS TO AGENDA - None

MINUTES

The minutes of the Special Joint Meeting with FSWD on October 14, 2008 and the Special Meeting on November 5, 2008 were approved on a voice count. Director Ayerza motioned and Director Pucheu seconded the motion. Motion carried.

PUBLIC COMMENTS - none

WACHOVIA

Representatives came to provide the Board with an update on the Reserve account and the Employee Retirement account. Fred Bayles talked about the status of the stock market in regards to the current downturn. Kellie Frasier explained about the 401k and how it is doing. She will later talk to the employees to explain what is happening in the stock market and the effect it is having on the 401k.

ENGINEERING

The Board was asked to consider and take action to accept the preparation of the Joint Groundwater Management Plan with Fresno Slough Water District. TID will be the lead agency and will prepare the resolutions and the public notices on behalf of both agencies. Director Pucheu motioned to approve the Joint Groundwater Management Plan and President Salvador seconded the motion.

City Water Grant Update – DHS accepted the application. The grant will be for \$6 million and a structured plan is required. There will be 2 phases – first, the feasibility study and second, the actual construction. The State will not approve the application until February 2009. No construction work can be done until approval is acquired but the feasibility study can be done now. We would have to fund the project and then be reimbursed once the application has been approved. If the project is not started until Feb 2009 – the completion will not be until December 2010 but we currently have an EPA deadline of June 30, 2010 to correct the arsenic problem. The question was asked whether we want to wait for the grant funding contract to be

signed or do we want to fund the project and wait for reimbursement. The feasibility study will run about \$25 thousand in total. Then in Feb the test holes can be drilled as long as the contract gets signed. The Board agreed that we should proceed with the feasibility portion with the understanding that this will be at TID's expense until reimbursement in March 09. Motion to approve was made by Director Pucheu and Director Ayerza seconded the motion.

SECRETARY-TREASUERER'S REPORT

The Cash Flow statement was presented for the month of October 2008 including the payments and balances on long-term debts. The Cash Disbursements were also presented for review. The receivables for both city water and irrigation water accounts were reviewed. Director Pucheu approved and Director Ayerza seconded the Treasurer's report.

Prepay accounts – one of the prepay customer requested that they be removed from the prepay list. The board approved but if the customer was late once they will go back on the list.

Approval was granted unanimously to sign the loan application for the \$250,000 line of credit for the City Water Grant Project. President Salvador first, Director Pucheu second and Director Ayerza third.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Director Pucheu advised that the SLDMWA meeting was scheduled for Friday.

COUNSEL'S REPORT

Counsel reported that there has been no decision yet from Judge Wanger with regards the Bureau CVP Contracts. The DWR has voted to take additional restrictions on imports for the long-fin delta smelt which will be effective the first of December through the end of February. Not sure what the end result will be on our current pumping restrictions. The San Joaquin River Settlement has been postponed until January.

MANAGER'S REPORT

- a) 2008 Salary & Benefits Survey from ACWA was used to do a comparison with other districts for setting employee wages for the 2009 year. Discussion about the wages was held in closed session. Manager Wade asked the board if a new contract for the District Manager position will need to be made. The board agreed that no contract will be necessary at this time.
- b) 2009 budget proposal was reviewed with the electric/diesel portion being highlighted. A more in depth review will be made in January 2009 when approval will be requested of the final budget. This review was to assist with a determination of what the 2009 water rates should be set to. The general board feel was to set the rates at \$70.00. Final approval will be made in January 2009.
- c) Water rate increase news announcement was posted at \$75.00 regular rate and \$85.00 for excess ground rate. This was to let farmers know the highest point the rates could be set at.
- d) Well water rate for outside District farmers \$85.00 electric & \$145.00 diesel. This will be the charges to F&S Farms if next year remains a drought year and we have to supplement F&S water needs. TID will bill F&S directly for any well water needed. The Board unanimously approved the new well water rates as presented.

- e) Well 24, 25 will be converting to electric (PG&E); Well 26 is being developed by Cal West Rain and will remain on diesel as a backup well.
- f) Restrooms at the City Park will need to be torn down but President Salvador requested that we try to keep the roof and the corner beams there if possible. If the townsfolk want to use the park they will be required to provide Porto-potties for whatever function they wish to hold. The District will not be providing this service.
- g) TID Growers Luncheon 2008-2009 went very well and we would like to do it again next year.
- h) TID property dedicated for Fresno County Library Nov 7,2008 in memory of Frank Miller as first manager of TID

WATERMASTER'S REPORTS

- a. Water use irrigation and drinking water: Rod reported on the usage totals for the month of October, the well pumping, and the Mendota pool reporting.
- b. Rod reported on various maintenance projects; equipment and facility status, wells, pumps, mobile equipment, canals and distribution systems, weed control, buildings and other District properties.
- c. Fresno Slough Water District and TPUD water operations were presented.

CLOSED SESSION

a. Closed Session Pursuant to California Government Code Subdivision (a) of Section 54956.9

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL--EXISTING LITIGATION

Name of case: NRDC v. Bureau of Reclamation and others.

No reportable action

b. Closed Session Pursuant to California Government Code Section 54957.6

CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS

Agency designated representatives: Danny Wade, General Manager Unrepresented Employees: WaterMaster, Office Supervisor, Field Foreman, Canal Operator (3) No reportable action

The Board returned from closed session at 11:53 am to approve Resolution 2009-01-2009 full time employee wages. The raises that are requested for the employees will not change the budget value from 2008 budget year to the 2009 budget year. Motion for approval of Resolution 2009-01 was made by Director Pucheu and seconded by Director Ayerza.

The Board adjourned @ 11:55 AM

The next regular meeting will be held 8:30 am on December 16, 2008 at the TID Office.

Respectfully Submitted,

Elizabeth Reeves, Secretary/Treasurer 7,,

Jerry Salvador, Board President

TRANQUILLITY IRRIGATION DISTRICT TRANQUILLITY, CALIFORNIA

REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS <u>At the offices of the District</u>

Tuesday November 18, 2008 8:30 AM

PROPOSED AGENDA

- 1. ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS AND ADOPTION OF THE PROPOSED AGENDA
- 2. MINUTES for the Special Joint Meeting with FSWD On Oct 14, 2008 and the Special Meeting on Nov 5, 2008 approved.
- 3. PUBLIC COMMENTS Comments at this time are limited to items <u>not on this agenda</u> and within the Board's scope of responsibility. Speakers may be limited to 3 minutes.
- 4. ENGINEERING
 - a) Consider and take action to accept preparation of Joint Groundwater Management Plan with Fresno Slough Water District
 - b) City Water Grant update
- 5. WACHOVIA representatives to update board on Reserve account and Retirement account
- 6. SECRETARY-TREASURER'S REPORT
 - a) Cash Flow Statement.
 - b) Accounts payable, and Accounts receivable past due irrigation accts.
 - c) Reserve fund and asset status; cash and property, long-term debt status including; 92-1 Bond Payment, State Water Conservation Loan, and West America Loan.
 - d) Prepay accounts
 - e) Approval to sign loan application for \$250,000 line of credit for CW grant project
- 7. DIRECTOR REPORTS
- 8. COUNSEL'S REPORT
 - a) Status of legal matters potentially affecting the District
- 7. MANAGER'S REPORT

- a) 2008 Salary & Benefits Survey ACWA
 - 1. Closed Session See Below item "b" (closed session)
 - a. Resolution 2009-01 approval of 2009 full time employee wages
 - 2. Contract for District Manager
- b) Review 2009 budget proposal
- c) Water rate increase news announcement
- d) Well Rate outside District \$85.00 electric & \$145.00 diesel
- e) Well 24, 25 electric PG&E, Well 26 Cal West Rain, diesel
- f) Restrooms city park
- g) TID Growers Luncheon 2008-2009
- h) TID property dedicated for Fresno County Library Nov 7,2008 in memory of Frank Miller as first manager of TID

8. WATERMASTER'S REPORTS

Operations and maintenance – The Board will receive reports on all water operations in Tranquillity and TID Fresno Slough property as well as all related maintenance activities completed during the previous month.

- 1) Water use irrigation and drinking water.
- 2) Other maintenance; equipment and facility status, wells, pumps, mobile equipment, canals and distribution systems, weed control, buildings and other District properties.
- 3) Fresno Slough Water District and TPUD water operations.

9. CLOSED SESSION

a) Closed Session Pursuant to California Government Code Subdivision (a) of <u>Section</u> 54956.9

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-EXISTING LITIGATION

Name of case: NRDC v. Bureau of Reclamation and others.

b) Closed Session Pursuant to California Government Code Section 54957.6

CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS

Agency designated representatives: Danny Wade, General Manager Unrepresented Employees: WaterMaster, Office Supervisor, Field Foreman, Canal Operator (3)

NOTE: The agenda items may not be presented in the order they are listed. Persons wishing to discuss an item that was taken out of order will have the opportunity to do so. All agenda items listed are considered to be discussion and/or action items. Materials related to an item on this agenda that are public documents and are submitted after distribution and posting of the agenda are available for public inspection in the District's office at 25390 W. Silveira Street, Tranquillity, during normal business hours. Documents that are public documents provided by others during a meeting will be available at the same location during business hours after the meeting.

Individuals needing special assistance in order to participate in this meeting should contact the District Secretary for such assistance or accommodation prior to the meeting.

Tranquillity Greigation District

Directors: Geo. Ayerza, Jr. Wm. Pucheu J.S. Salvador



Manager:
Danny M. Wade
Secretary/Treasurer:
Elizabeth Reeves
Legal Counsel:
Henry, Logoluso & Blum

Board Meeting Notice November 18, 2008

Jerry Salvador, Director	
William Pucheu, Director]
George Ayerza, Jr., Director]
Mark Blum, District Counsel	
Gentlemen,	
of Directors to be held on, To	will be a meeting of the Tranquillity Irrigation District Board uesday November 18th, at 8:30 AM, in the Tranquillity 5390 W Silveira St., Tranquillity, California. Enclosed is a

copy of the proposed agenda and the minutes from the October 14th and November 5th

board meetings for your review and approval at the meeting.

Sincerely,

Liz Reeves Board Secretary/Treasurer TRANQUILLITY IRRIGATION DISTRICT FRESNO SLOUGH WATER DISTRICT

GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

APPENDIX B – TRANQUILLITY IRRIGATION DISTRICT RULES AND REGULATIONS

Rules and Regulations of Tranquillity

Tranquillity
Irrigation
District

TRANQUILLITY IRRIGATION DISTRICT

Celebrating Our 75th Year 1918-1993

WM. PUCHEU
J.D. FAIRLESS
J.F. SALVADOR
Directors

SARGEANT GREEN

Manager

JEANNIE FAIRLESS
Secretary-Treasurer

NICK FLOCCHINI
Watermaster

Burn down your cities and they will spring up again as if by magic; but destroy our farms and the grass will grow in the streets of every city in the country.

TRANQUILLITY IRRIGATION DISTRICT

Governing the Distribution and Use of Irrigation Water

Introduction

Tranquillity Irrigation District, hereafter called District, is a State agency governed by a Board of Directors elected by the people. It operates under the Water Code of the State of Callfornia. The District is a "General Act District", that is, it Districts and has no special powers granted to it under any special act of the State Legislature. The Water Code Sections that empower operates under the Water Code sections that apply to Irrigation the District are contained in Division 11, Sections 20500 to 29999. The District irrigation water supplies come from various sources but principally include; exchange and contract surface water supplied through the Mendota Pool by the United States Bureau of Reclamation, Kings River flood water when it is available and ground water underlying the District extracted by wells.

of the lands and people within its boundaries. The benefits the to which they cooperate to make it a success. The following rules the District and its customers in finding common ground for The District makes no profit and is operated for the sole benefit people can derive from the District will be measured by the extent encompass many of the circumstances and conditions that can assist continued cooperation and success.

RULE 1

Management

of the District shall be under the exclusive control of the Manager, acting under policies set by the Board of Directors. The operations and maintenance of the irrigation system

The manager shall employ such personnel as may be required and authorized by the Board of Directors for the operation, maintenance and improvement of the system.

Control of Work

delivering water from a District conduit. The service outlet (customarily a "headgate" or "gate") shall be constructed in such a manner as to conform to standards established by the District, and once constructed, shall become the sole property of the Board of Directors. Maintenance of such works shall be at the expense of the District. In such cases when the user or landowner is responsible for extraordinary needed maintenance and/or maintained by the District and their control and operations will be an adequate measuring device, shall be paid for by the District and reflected in the costs of water to all users, therefore, frequent alterations shall be discouraged and subject to the approval of the District. Upon application, the District shall construct, or cause to be constructed, water service outlets for the purpose of replacement (such as when a landowner requests a replacement when boundary of normal wear and tear), the costs shall be born by the user or landowner. Such extraordinary work will require a written District. All costs for materials and/or labor, including that of field operators is determined by the District to be beyond the application by the operator or landowner and construction will be integrated into the maintenance program schedule at the discretion distribution of water from the District's canals and the manner of delivery from such facilities, so as to secure safe and efficient operation, shall be ultimately determined by the Manager, subject the existing facility is adequate or accidental damage or abuse by "gates" for the All diversion works, canals, ditches, headgates, and other structures belonging to the District will be operated under the exclusive control of the authorized agents of the The location and number of to the approval of the Board of Directors. of the Manager.

Tampering and Damage to District Facilities

Hanipulation of District weirs, headgates and other structures is forbidden, unless permission is given by the Watermaster or other authorized employee of the District. Cutting canal or ditch banks and/or placing dams or other obstructions in District-owned canals or ditches is prohibited.

Removal of dirt from, or other use, of the District-owned property such as, but not limited to, the utilization of the canal bank on which to turn farm equipment, the placing of toe ditches, drainage ditches, fences, trees or other crops, pumping plants, structures or other obstructions upon the District's rights-of-way are also prohibited, unless done with specific written permission and in accord with any conditions imposed by the District.

Mater users or others, shall not permit their livestock to drains or ditches except with specific permission of the District. In cases where it is necessary to cross the right-or-way, or to move livestock from one point to another along District rights-or-way, permission to use the rights-of-way for that purpose must be obtained from the Manager in advance. Any damage done to canal or ditch banks in using them for a roadway, whether moving livestock, farm equipment, or other vehicles, shall be the responsibility of those making use of the property. If it is found necessary for the District to repair such damage, those responsible shall pay all

PIII.F A

Operation and Maintenance of Private Ditches or Laterals

The term "ditch" as used in this Rule, includes all gates, structures or other diversion works within a private ditch or

The operation and maintenance of privately owned ditches or use the private ditch or lateral. "Use" of such ditch or lateral means irrigating from it, draining into it, or allowing the grazing or watering of stock therein, or taking or permitting any action of any nature which affects the condition of the ditch or causes any impairment of flow of water therein.

Privately owned ditches or laterals must be kept in reasonable repair and reasonably free from weeds and other obstructions, and be of sufficient capacity at all times to carry an adequate amount of water to irrigate the lands under them.

In the event that water is ordered in excess of the capacity of a privately owned ditch, only amounts up to the capacity of the ditch as determined by the District will be delivered. If the ditch is in such unclean or otherwise unsuitable condition that a usable amount of water cannot be delivered safely, or waste of water would result, delivery will be refused until such conditions are remedied.

Landowners shall construct and maintain adequate drainage facilities so that adjacent or lower lying lands will not be damaged, and no irrigator shall be delivered a greater amount of water than he can economically and beneficially use without waste, and with due regard to the needs of other irrigators.

The District will not be responsible for any loss or damage resulting from open ditch or drainage cuts, or improperly closed ditch or drainage cuts made by the user in any privately owned ditch or lateral, or for the improper functioning of any gate, structure or other diversion works therein.

Agreements may be entered into by the District, leasees and the landowners owning a particular ditch or section thereof, for the construction, reconstruction, and/or maintenance thereof under appropriate sections of the Water Code.

The legal provisions of the Water Code governing maintenance of privately owned ditches, and the District's power with respect thereto, are set forth in Appendix A of these Rules and Regulations.

RULE 5

Liability for Damage

The District will not be liable for any damage caused by the negligence or carelessness of any user in the use of water or for failure on his part to maintain any ditch or structure therein for which he is responsible - either wholly or in part. A waiver of such liability will be incorporated in an annual application for water (Rule 8). Each operator or owner will sign such a waiver or be subject to forfeiture of any rights or priveleges the District is legally entitled to grant.

ò

Access to District Property and Rights-of-Way

Use of District property and right-of-way is by permission only. The use of canal banks or other real properties is limited to activities related to agricultural operations and other uses deemed appropriate by the Manager under Board direction. Any user or any other individual entering upon District property does so at his our riek

RIII.E 7

Irrigation of Excessively High Ground

The District will not raise water to an excessive height in canals or ditches in order to give service to lands or ditches of unreasonable elevation, as determined by the District.

RULE

Application for Water

At the beginning of each irrigation season, each user shall submit to the Manager a properly completed written application for irrigation water in the specified year. The aplication form will be furnished by the District and will require the following: the number of acres to be irrigated and if deemed necessary by the District, proof of the rights to irrigate said land (deed, lease or other appropriate instrument), the kind of crops and the number of acres to be devoted to each crop, as nearly as can be devermined, and such other information as the Manager may require to enable him to plan properly for distribution of water. If the applicant is part of a partnership or corporation, the other responsible officers must co-sign the application thereby signifying an understanding of their responsibilities and the requirements and rules of the District. The landowner, if different from the water of him of his responsibility for payment of any unpaid charges incurred on his prepare.

RULE 9

Irrigation Season

The District's annual irrigation season shall commence with the filling of the canal system starting on or about February 1st of each year, and terminate on November 15th of each year. However, the District reserves the right at its sole discretion to deviate from these dates, both as to the canal system as a whole, or any portion thereof, based upon irrigation water requirements, climatic conditions, construction and maintenance requirements, or for any other reason.

During the period November 16th to January 31st of the determined by the District, irrigation service may be given, at the sole discretion of the District, by the use of available gravity where physically and economically feasible, and where such service does not in any manner interfere with the District's contractual obligations, or with maintenance, construction or other activities of the District necessary to properly operate district canals and facilities.

RULE 10

Charges for Water, Materials and Services

Charges for water, materials and services including the transportation of foreign (non-District) water, will be fixed and the date or dates of payment of the same shall be determined by the Board of Directors. Such charges are in addition to any provisions of the Mater Code.

The charges to the water user or users of water service (usually well water) during the non-irrigation season shall include all appropriate costs of the use of physical facilities required (such as power at lift pumps), and a flat charge per acre foot for all well water costs as may be determined and established by the Board of Directors.

The charges for all waters, regardless of source, will neflect a pro-rata share of system conveyance losses which will be reviewed periodically in an independent evaluation by an engineer or equivalent professional.

RULE 11

Unpaid Charges and Refusal of Service

All invoices for water, work orders, materials, and permanent maintenance agreements are due and payable by the 15th day of the month after receipt of the service. All past due bills shall be subject to a penalty of one and one half percent (1 1/2 %) per month, compounded monthly with a fifty cent (\$ 0.50) handling

Directors, will be charged in advance of services. The water charges will be paid on or about February 1 (before water service can begin) for subsequent irrigations and on June 1 for the balance of the anticipated irrigation amounts or allocation, the following year will be declared delinquent, and the person who service, or face termination of service. Any users who are consistently delinquent for water charges (for more than two consecutive years), at the sole discretion of the Board of Any charges that are not paid in full prior to Fehruary 1 of is responsible for those charges may be required to pay all water, delinquent or other charges for the succeeding year in advance of adjusted upward, users under the advance payment schedule shall make the necessary payments to cover the adjustment within ten days If for any reason an allocation is of the District announcement or forfeit the entitlement. whichever is appropriate.

Because landowners are ultimately responsible to the District for all unpaid bills incurred by themselves or tenants, the Manager particular property as soon as practical after December 31st of each year. However, failure to so notify the landowner will not eliminate the ultimate legal responsibility of the landowner for shall notify all landowners of all outstanding hills against their such payment.

on which any such payment is delinquent, in accordance with Sections 22256 and 22792.1 of the Water Code of the State of California. In addition, the amount of any delinquent charges may be recorded as a lien against the user's or land owner's property, service to any user who is in default in the payment of any District assessment or charge of any nature, and also to any land The District reserves the right to refuse or discontinue In accordance with Section 25806 of the Water Code.

All claims for overcharges or errors must be made in person or in writing and filed with the District within thirty days from the date the bill is received.

RULE 12

Delivery of Water

p.m. shall be considered normal business hours for the Watermaster/Operations staff. Telephone calls during that time may be answered by a telephone recording device. Routine calls will be promptly acknowledged, and emergencies will be responded to as quickly as possible. All water orders will be recorded on a form provided by the District. These service orders must be signed by the water user, his representative or a District representative in During the irrigation season, the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 the case of a phone order. All such signed service orders obligate the user to pay any charges associated with the order.

Water should he ordered at least 48 hours in advance, and the District will attempt to deliver water to the District's headgate as timely as possible. In order to provide timely service and to minimize waste of water, the Watermaster or Operations staff must be given an approximate shut-off date and time. A 10% surcharge on the water used during a delivery will be charged if the user fails to specify a shut-off date and time.

deliveries in his lateral area, provided no undue loss of water is involved and there is no interference with deliveries to other irrigators. Heads or flow rates applied for may be altered by the District when necessary. Users should apply the water continuously day and night until irrigation is completed and without waste at Water will be delivered as ordered within lateral areas as equitably as possible. Any consumer not able to use water at the time requested may receive water upon the completion of

distributed by the District the same as District water. However, foreign water will be assessed its approximate share of losses as determined in an engineering report (Rule 10) and lift costs attributed to the District system as determined by the Manager and Foreign water brought into the District by a user will be approved by the Board of Directors. Foreign water that is unused after completion of an irrigation season shall be lost unless the user makes arrangements for storage with agents acceptable to the

RULE 13

Basis of Allocation, Shortage of Water and Overuse

Each consumer shall be entitled to his proportionate share of the quantity of water available in accordance with the provisions of the Water Code of the State of California. Requests for delivery of water during shortages should be made at least five days prior to the date water is wanted. However, water will be delivered on requests made less than five days before the date water is wanted provided water is available and deliveries can be made without undue manipulations of weirs and without undue waste of water or any irrigation season there is an anticipated shortage of water occurs, the District will pro-rate the District may reduce the length of time of each run of water, or the amount of water delivered during each run of water, or the amount of water delivered during the Reiold of shortage. The District will attempt to provide notice of any anticipated damage, loss or injury arising from a failure to provide such advance notice.

In the event of overuse of allocated water during shortages, a penalty of three times the amount of water used in excess of the user's allocation will be deducted from the user's allocation for the next succeeding year. Alternate arrangements can be made to replace the water, however all such written transactions must be completed by August 15 of the instant irrigation season. In addition, users who consume water in excess of their allocation shall have a surcharge of 10% applied to the charges for amounts of water delivered which exceed the user's allocation, unless the District has received the advance notice of a transfer of water for an amount of water equal to or greater than the excess delivery.

Carryover of District water from one year to the next is prohibited.

The District reserves the right to suspend service during any period of time when it is necessary to take water out of the canals for public safety, emergencies, cleaning or other maintenance, repair or reconstruction work.

RULE 14

Transfers of Water

Transfers of allocated water within the District during times of shortage will be permitted. However, advance notice of such transfers shall be given to the District in written form not later than August 15 of any irrigation season so as to allow the District to make proper arrangements for delivery and determine availability and impacts of such transfers. The District reserves the right to refuse such transfers if the re-scheduling and re-allocation adversely impacts the ability of the District to serve other users or comply with other contractual commitments or requirements.

Transfers of District water outside of the District as authorized or controlled by Federal or State law or on the District's cwn volition, will be permitted when all the requirements of the laws or subsequent regulations have been met or all needs internal to the District and its users have been satisfied and the water declared by the Board of Directors surplus to those needs. The Board of Directors has found and declares that the priority for utilizing transferrable water should first be District operators and landowners, then others. The cost of the water will be determined by the Board of Director's but in all cases will as a minimum recover all reasonble District costs. The Board reserves the right to review the appropriateness of any transfer request and may require certain information neressary to determine the appropriateness and to the degree possible, preventransfers which will result in any undue consideration or benefits their principals are not third parties. Delinquent users and their principals are not eligible to exercise any outside

All transfer related charges and fees must be paid in full before the execution of any outside transfer.

7111.E 15

Point of Delivery

All measurements and deliveries of water shall be made at the point where the consumer's lateral or ditch connects with the canal or ditch owned by the District, at which point the District shall install and operate a controlled outlet box or headgate as provided for in Rule 2. The time of delivery will start when the headgate to such laterals or ditches are opened and expire when said headgates are closed. Exceptions to this rule may be made by the District to fit operating conditions.

ULE 16

Unauthorized Taking of Water

Persons interfering with the regulation of waler in the canals or ditches of the District are subject to criminal prosecution. If any person takes water without permission of the Watermaster, he shall not only be subject to criminal prosecution, but may also forfeit his right to water, in addition to any other penalties or liabilities which may accrue as a consequence of such conduct.

District penalties will include as a minimum all charges and remedies described for overuse (Rule 13).

RULE 17

Transportation of Well and/or Government Water

The Board of Directors reserves the right to adopt such policies and/or rules and regulations on the transportation of well and/or Government owned waters through District owned canals and ditches as may be to the best interest of the District and its

RIII.E 1

Ownership of Water

All water in District owned canals, drains or ditches, regardless of source, except water being transported therein by written permission of the District, is District water and is subject to diversion and use by the District.

PITTE 1

Limitation on Drainage

No drainage waters shall be introduced into District canel canals, drains or ditches, either directly or indirectly, without the specific written permission of the District.

RULE 20

Access to Land

The authorized agents or employees of the District shall have reasonable access at all times to all lands irrigated from the

District system for the purpose of examining any ditches, laterals or drains serving such lands and/or the flow of water therein, for the purpose of ascertaining the acreage of crops on lands irrigated or to be irrigated, or for any other District purpose.

RULE 21

Nuisances

No material or substance of any nature, and particularly those that are or may become offensive to the senses or injurious to health or which do or may injuriously affect the quality of water, obstruct the flow of water, or result in the scattering of seeds or noxious weeds, plants or grasses, shall be placed or dumped in any ditch or on any right-of-way of the District, or be placed or left so as to roll, slide, flow, or be washed or blown into any ditch or onto any right-of-way. Any violation of this rule will subject the offender to criminal prosecution and/or civil liability. All employees of the District shall promptly report any violation of this rule, and the users of the District are especially urged to cooperate in its enforcement.

RULE 22

Complaints of District Customers

Complaints of any kind against the District or any of its acts complaints be made in writing to the manager promptly after acts complained of have occurred. Customers shall have the right to refer any complaints in writing or in person to the Board of Directors of the District, which meets at 8:30 a.m., on the second, and when necessary to complete the Board's business, fourth Tuesdays of each month, at the office of the District, 25390 W. Silveira Street, Tranquillity, California. Nothing in this rule abrogates any provision contained in California Government Code the District.

RULE 23

Stock Water

The District shall not be required to furnish water for the exclusive purpose of watering stock.

RULE 24

Pumping and Pipelines

All water users who elect to pump water from the canals or conveying water by means of pipelines or closed conduits using their own equipment and facilities, shall nonetheless be governed in all respects by the rules and regulations applicable to consumers under gravity ditch service. Pumping by users of District transported or Government water is done at the user's risk, and the District, its officers and employees, assume no liability for damages to pumping equipment or to pipelines, or other damages as a result of turbid water, chemical quality, shortage or excess of water, or other causes.

RULE 25

Penalty for Non-Compliance

Refusal to comply with the requirements herein, or transgression of any of the foregoing rules and regulations, or any interference with the discharge of the duties of any employee of the District, shall be sufficient cause for shutting off the water, and water will not again be furnished until full compliance has been made with all requirements hereof. Nothing contained in these rules shall be construed as a limitation of the rights of the District. Any remedies and rights stated herein are in addition to any rights or remedies otherwise available to the District.

RULE 26

Changes in Rules and Regulations

The Board of Directors reserves the right to change these Rules and Regulations by majority action of the Board at any regular or special meeting, by adopting an appropriate resolution and publishing such resolution in the minutes of the District, a public record. Publications and disseminations of such changes by the printing of revised Rules and Regulations will be limited to economically feasible intervals as determined by the Board.

There shall be maintained at the office of the Distiict, however, a master copy of these Rules and Regulations, including all changes made by the Board of Directors, which copy will be cpen to inspection at any time during normal office hours of the District.

APPENDIX A -- SELECTED CODE SECTIONS

Penal Code of the State of California

SECTION 592. Every person who shall, without authority of the owner or managing agent, and with intent to defraud, take water from any canal, ditch, flume or reservoir used for the purpose of holding or conveying water for manufacturing, agriculture, mining, irrigation or generation of power, or domestic use, or who shall althout like authority, raise, lower, or otherwise disturb any gate or other apparatus thereof, used for the control or measurement of water, or who shall empty or place, or cause to be emptied or placed, into any such canal, ditch, flume or reservoir, any rubbish, filth, or obstruction to the free flow of the water, is

Water Code of the State of California

SECTION 7000. As used in this chapter "conduit" includes ditch, pipeline, and flume.

agreement in the use of a conduit, well or pumping plant, for the conveyance, obtaining or disposing of water, or are using such conduit, well or pumping plant, or any part thereof, for any lawful rurpose, to the construction of which they or their grantors have contributed, each is liable, in the absence of any agreement to the contrary, to the others for the reasonable expenses of maintaining and repairing the same proportionately to the use actually made of such conduit, well or pumping plant, whether used in connection with irrigation or drainage.

SECTION 7002. If any person neglects, after demand in writing, to pay his proportion of the expenses under the next preceding section, he is liable therefor in an action for contribution, and in any judgment obtained against his interest from the time of domand shall be included.

SECTION 7003. The action authorized by this article may be brought by any or all of the parties who have contributed more than his or their just proportion of the expenses, and the plaintiff may recover, as costs, reasonable counsel fees to be fixed by the court.

SECTION 22256. A district may refuse to furnish water to any land to which it holds title by virtue of collector's deeds to the district or to any or all land on which the district has an outstanding unredeemed certificate of sale for the nonpayment of a district assessment.

distribution and use of water, which shall be printed in convenient form for distribution and use of water, which shall be printed in convenient form for distribution in the district. A district may refuse to deliver water through a ditch which is not clean or not in suitable condition to prevent waste of water and may determine through which of two or more available ditches it will deliver water.

A district may close a defective gate in a community water distribution system used for irrigation purposes and may refuse to deliver water through the defective gate if the landowner fails to repair the gate or outlet to the satisfaction of the district within a reasonable time after receipt of notice from the board through its authorized water superintendent, manager, or ditch tender to repair the gate or outlet...

Section 22282.1 A district may refuse service to any land if outstanding charges for services already rendered such land have not been paid within a reasonable time.

Section 22283. A district may prescribe reasonable rules to carry out the provisions of this article.

Section 25806. (a) In case any charges for water and other services or either remain unpaid the amount of the unpaid charges may, in the discretion of the district:

(1)

(2) Be secured at any time by filling for record in the office of the county recorder of any county, a certificate specifying the amount of such charges and the name and address of the person liable therefore.

From the time of recordation of the certificate, the amount required to be paid together with interest and penalty constitutes a lien upon all real property in the county owned by the person or afterwards, and before the lien expires, acquired by him. The lien has the force, priority, and effect of a judgment lien and shall continue for 10 years from the date of the filing of the certificate unless sooner released or otherwise discharged. The lien may, within 10 years from the filing of the certificate or within 10 years from the filing of the certificate or the manner herein provided, be extended by filing for record a new certificate in the office of the county recorder of any county and property in such county for 10 years unless sooner released or otherwise discharged.

RESOLUTION 03-11

ATTACHMENT A

CONSOLIDATED REQUIREMENTS FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE TRANQUILLITY IRRIGATION DISTRICT DOMESTIC DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

INTRODUCTION

Tranquillity Irrigation District operates and maintains the drinking water system for the citizens of the community of Tranquillity. In order to properly administer the system, the Board of Directors finds it necessary to establish certain requirements so as to be fair and equitable in apportioning the costs of operating the system and protect the public's resources. This consolidated set of requirements and conditions is the District's primary structure for meeting that goal. However, it is difficult to anticipate every eventuality in the development of such a system, therefore, where the requirements are silent or subject to interpretation, the final decision on any and all matters rests solely with the Board of Directors of the District. However, the Board does grant to the District Manager the necessary discretion to implement the intent of these requirements. The following constitutes the District's requirements and conditions for participation in the use of the drinking water system.

APPLICATION

For the convenience of the District and its customers, all transactions begin with making application to the District for domestic water service. The District may require certain information in the application, including but not limited to; permission for the applicant to occupy the premises to be served, other permits or licenses for occupancy from other agencies such as Fresno County, and any other information deemed necessary by the Board to establish bonafide connections to the system. Failure to provide the necessary documents or proof of occupancy could result in denial of connection or termination of connection to the system. The District application is included herein as a part of these requirements. The application will be reviewed as needed for relevancy and compliance with the laws of the State and federal government, especially with regard to the regulation of drinking water systems and consumer protection. Questions or concerns regarding the application should be directed in writing to the Manager of the District.

Drinking Water Accounts Page 2

CONNECTION

Each hookup to the water system is deemed a connection. A connection is any location where water service is provided to a single domestic unit or its equivalent. A connection is not tied to a single parcel of land, property owner, or head of household. However, a connection at any single family residence is limited to the immediate family. Residences with more than one family living as a family will be considered as a commercial connection and subject to the special conditions noted below. The Board reserves the right to finally determine what is a connection whenever a dispute arises. A full connection includes an average house and landscaped yard/lot in the community of Tranquillity. Anything less than a full connection, such as to a home without a distinct, separate yard or to a temporary facility, will be charged a one-half connection. Half connections will be limited to facilities that have no landscape watering, no more than one bathroom equivalent of various sink and lavatory facilities and no washing machines or similar high water use hookups. If these conditions are met, the location qualifies for a review and determination of eligibility by the District manager. Adverse decisions by the manager will be subject to an appeal to the Board of Directors.

Certain connections are deemed "special" and subject to individual treatment regarding charges, equipment and expansion. "Special" connections will be analyzed by the manager and presented to the Board for consideration and approval. These special circumstances may require alternate calculations of the use of water that are related to the volume of use or potential impacts on quality. For instance, the District may require meters for large industrial or commercial users, or backflow prevention devices to prevent contamination of the community supply. The connections subject to these special circumstances may have a customized application documenting the circumstances necessary for the connection to the system. In addition, the District may declare some connections to pose a threat to the integrity of the drinking water system and specifically a threat to the health and well being of the community. The District at its own discretion, upon making such a finding, reserves the right to disconnect any such connection and require the health threat be cured before re-connecting the user to the system. Remedies may include requiring new service lines after the curb stop or backflow prevention equipment, whichever provides the most appropriate degree of protection to the system. Backflow prevention devices or any other remedy will be at the expense of the user, including any ongoing inspection of the device by a certified backflow tester.

CONNECTION FEES AND CHARGES

Fees and charges will be reviewed and established by Resolution at the first Board of Directors' meeting of each calendar year. The fees and charges include the following:

- 1. Connection fee for new construction of a connection (tapping of main, curb stop, meter box, etc.) to eligible properties includes a refundable connection fee established by the Board. Work necessary to make the connection, (materials and labor) will be charged against the fee. Costs incurred above the connection fee will be added to the first regular monthly charge, or special arrangements can be made to equalize payments for up to one year. If construction costs are less than the original connection fee, the balance will be applied to the required account deposit first, then monthly charges for not more than 3 month's charges and any remaining balance would be returned to the applicant. Eligible properties are those that are within a reasonable distance (500ft.) from a serviceable distribution line already installed near the property.
- 2. Regular connections domestic water connections are a service the District provides for charges. Payment of charges are necessary to maintain the connection. The following describes the charges and penalty process.
 - a. Account deposit all accounts started after November 1996, will be subject to three months of charges in advance as a deposit (Brewer Bill impact, AB 1770, January 1997 minute order). Upon terminating service, the deposit will be applied to any remaining unpaid balance first, then the remainder will be rebated to the customer within 60 days of account closure. In no case will any user be allowed to become delinquent to the extent the deposit is in jeopardy of descending to a zero balance, such accounts will be subject to the shutoff procedure. Connections that are landowner occupied and become delinquent are subject to Water Code Section 25806 provisions of adding the unpaid charges to the annual assessment levied upon the land (Resolution 1976-2).
 - b. Monthly charge each account will be subject to a monthly charge due and payable immediately following the month of use. Such payments will be considered delinquent after the 25th day of the month succeeding use (Resolution 1976-2).
 - c. Interest charges and penalties charges that are past due more than thirty days are subject to penalties and interest. The late charge penalty is fifty cents. In addition, an interest charge of one and one-half percent per month is levied on the unpaid balance (Resolution 1977-1, March 1992 minutes).
 - d. Shutoff procedure accounts that are delinquent more than 90 days are subject to a shutoff procedure. The procedure involves the customer receiving a two-week shutoff notice followed by a 48 hour notification running concurrently. Failure to pay by 10 AM on the morning of the day after 48 hours has gone by will result in turning off of the service. A disconnect and re-connect fee is charged

when the account is brought current. The Board establishes the disconnect and reconnect fee and each fee is generally the same as the monthly charge for service. The disconnect and re-connect fee covers the staff time to lock off and then turn on the valve serving the customer (Terms and Conditions, November 1996).

- e. Consistent delinquencies if an account is delinquent more than three times in any twelve month period, the user is required to pay the District in advance of the service in addition to maintaining any required deposit.
- f. Account inquiries all information regarding accounts is privileged and confidential. Questions regarding the status of an account, or arrangements for alternate payment methods, should be addressed to the manager or his designee in person in the District office or in writing to the District mailing address. Alternate payment arrangements will be subject to review and approval by the Board of Directors.
- g. Pre-payment of charges six months (or more) pre-payment of charges entitles the user to a 10% discount (Resolution 1976-1). Notification of the opportunity to pre-pay is sent to all users in normal billings or by postcard.
- h. Termination delinquent accounts subject to the disconnect and re-connect charges are considered terminated. Unauthorized connections (no application, hose hookups, digging and attaching without informing the District) will also be subject to termination. Terminated accounts will be shut-off immediately. Terminated connections may also be subject to re-application and prior approval by the Board of Directors before re-connection (Resolution 1973-7, March 1995 minute order).

WATER CONSERVATION

It is the goal of the District to provide reasonable amounts of water for use at all legally permitted connections. Waste or flagrant misuse will be discouraged by implementing the following.

1. The District will respond to complaints of waste of water by other users or conduct casual visual inspections (by staff). When it is clear the complaint is valid or the inspection confirms the overuse, the user will be notified in writing of the District staff's findings and warned to curtail use. Clear overuse includes landscape irrigation resulting in high amounts of water leaving the user's property and entering the roads, gutters and storm drains or complaints from the local utility district that excessive amounts of water are entering the sewer system. After a user has been warned three times in writing, the District will install a water meter at the user's expense and begin charging the user for water consumed rather than a flat rate. The volumetric rate will be established by the District Board of Directors.

Drinking Water Accounts Page 5

2. No domestic drinking water will be allowed for the irrigation of pasture used for animal husbandry (Resolution 1976-1).

SYSTEM EXPANSION AND ADDITIONS

From time to time the District is asked to evaluate the possibility of extending service to properties that for one reason or another had not previously been serviced by the District. It is the District's intent to attempt to reasonably serve all lands within the sphere of influence of the District. Any landowner within the sphere can petition the District to investigate the feasibility of providing such service. The feasibility of providing service includes evaluating the impact of the new service on the existing system and the required facilities to provide the new service. If any applicants wish to proceed based on such feasibility, they must contract to pay the cost of the investigation, findings, recommendations and construction. When more than one applicant is involved, each must pay their proportionate share of the costs. Should such projects proceed, any subsequent new users in the area of the addition must reimburse their proportionate share to the original proponents for up to ten years after completion of the addition. After ten years, no further reimbursements will be made. All additions and expansions shall be District property to the point of individual connections.

ACCESS AND LIABILITY

The District's water service connection will be located as conveniently as possible for all parties involved. However, in most instances the connection and meter box will remain in the right-of-way claimed by the County of Fresno or other public agency. All appurtenances beyond the right-of-way will be the responsibility of the property owner. From time to time, certain properties may require the installation of the District equipment on private property. Such circumstances will lead to the need for the District to: (1) obtain an easement from the property owner, or; (2) require the property owner to sign an access provision on the application for service. Such access will be necessary to maintain the serviceability of the District connection.

The District will also request the applicants for water service understand and sign a provision of the application that recognizes the District is not liable for damages from the failure of any landowner's or user's water distribution facilities past the District's connection.

Enclosure: Application for Domestic Drinking Water Service Connection

TRANQUILLITY IRRIGATION DISTRICT FRESNO SLOUGH WATER DISTRICT

GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

APPENDIX C –
GROUNDWATER MONITORING PROTOCOLS

GROUNDWATER MONITORING PROTOCOLS

GENERAL SCOPE

The purpose of this document is to insure that the sampling and analytical methods are adequately documented and appropriate for the project scope and purpose by individuals responsible for implementing the monitoring program. Examples of all required forms are presented at the end of this section.

In general, measurements of the static water level will be taken from the top of each casing, and then the monitoring wells will be purged and sampled. A detailed description of these procedures follows.

EQUIPMENT USED DURING SAMPLING

Water level sounding equipment and field meter probes (pH, dissolved oxygen, conductivity, temperature, and turbidity) will be thoroughly rinsed with deionized/distilled water before and after each reading. All field meters will be calibrated according to manufacturer's guidelines and specifications before and after every day of field use.

The monitoring wells will be equipped with a dedicated sampling well pump or sampling activities will utilize disposable bailing equipment. All non-dedicated sampling equipment (in contact with sample) shall be thoroughly cleaned prior to each sampling event to prevent cross-contamination between samples and to ensure accurate representation of analytes of interest in each sample. All sample containers and sampling equipment shall be sterilized and transported to the field under conditions to preserve its sterility. Personnel performing decontamination shall wear gloves, eye-protection, and such other safety equipment as needed. The analytical laboratory as part of their agreement shall provide all sample containers, container preparation services, preservatives, and field blanks.

EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATION PROCEDURES

All equipment that comes into contact with potentially contaminated water will be decontaminated. Disposable equipment intended for one-time use will not be decontaminated, but will be packaged for appropriate disposal. Decontamination will occur prior to and after each use of a piece of equipment. The following, to be carried out in sequence, is the recommended procedure.

- Non-phosphate detergent and tap water wash, using a brush if necessary;
- Tap water rinse; and
- Deionized/distilled water rinse.

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

Water levels will be measured in wells that have the least amount of known contamination first. Wells with known or suspected contamination will be measured last.

If wellheads are accessible, all wells will be sounded for depth to water from top of casing and total well depth prior to purging. An electronic sounder, accurate to the nearest +/- 0.01-ft, will be used to measure depth to water in each well. When using an electronic sounder, the probe is lowered down the casing to the top of the water column, the graduated markings on the probe wire or tape are used to measure the depth to water from the surveyed point on the rim of the well casing. Total well depth will be sounded from the surveyed top of casing by lowering the weighted probe to the bottom of the well. The weighted probe will sink into silt, if present, at the bottom of the well screen. Total well depths will be measured by lowering the weighted probe to the bottom of the well and recording the depth to the nearest 0.1-ft. Depth to water and total well depth will be recorded on a Monitoring Well Purging and Sampling Record as presented at the end of this section.

WELL PURGING

The wells will be sampled no sooner than 48 hours after well development. All wells will be purged prior to sampling. If the well casing volume is known, a minimum of three casing volumes of water will be purged using the dedicated well pump, if present, or a bailer, hand pump, or submersible pump depending on the diameter and configuration of the well. When a submersible pump is used for purging, clean flexible Teflon tubes will be used for groundwater extraction. Pumps will be placed 2 to 3 ft from the bottom of the well to permit reasonable draw down while preventing cascading conditions.

Water will be collected into a measured bucket to record the purge volume. Casing volumes will be calculated based on total well depth, standing water level, and casing diameter. One casing volume will be calculated as $V = \pi r^2 h 7.48$ where V is the volume of one well casing of water in gallons (1ft = 7.48 gallons); π = 3.14; r is the radius of the inner well casing (in ft); and h is the total height of the water column in the well (in ft).

It is most important to obtain a representative sample from the well. Stable water quality parameter field measurements (temperature, pH, and specific conductivity [EC]) indicate representative sampling is obtainable. Water quality is considered stable if for three consecutive readings:

- Temperature range is no more than +1/C;
- pH varies by no more than 0.2 pH units; and
- EC readings are within 10% of the average.

If the well casing volume is known, measurements will be taken before the start of purging, in the middle of purging, and at the end of purging each casing volume. If the well casing volume is NOT known, measurements will be taken every 2.5 minutes after flow starts. If water quality parameters are not stable after 5 casing volumes or 30 minutes, purging will cease, which will be noted in the field notes, and ground water samples will be taken. The depth to water, water quality field measurements, and purge volumes will be recorded on a Monitoring Well Purging and Sampling Record as presented at the end of this section.

If a well dewaters during purging and three casing volumes are not purged, that well will be allowed to recharge up to 80% of the static water column and dewatered once more. After water levels have recharged to 80% of the static water column, groundwater samples will be collected.

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENT AND WELL PURGING RECORDS

During the collection of each sample, the following information will be recorded on a Monitoring Well Purging and Sampling Record as presented at the end of this section:

- Well identification:
- Sampler's name(s);
- Date and time of sample collection;
- Designation of sample as composite or grab, if applicable;
- Type of sampling equipment used;
- Field instrument readings and calibration;
- Field observations and details related to analysis or integrity of samples (e.g., conditions in nearby waterways, weather conditions, noticeable odors, colors, etc.);
- Preliminary sample descriptions (e.g., clear with strong ammonia-like odor):
- Time of arrival/entry on site and time of site departure; and
- Deviations from sampling plans.

PURGED WATER DISPOSAL

Purged and excess groundwater collected for sample container filling may be disposed on site or in the sampling area by dispersing onto the ground, or at the owner's direction.

ANALYTICAL METHODS AND REPORTING LIMITS

Requested analytes are provided in the following table. Reporting limits are laboratory specific based on the type of equipment each laboratory uses. Analytical methods and holding times are listed by analyte below.

Analyte	Standard Method	EPA Method	Holding Time
PH	4500H-B	150.1	24 hours
EC	2510B	120.1	28 days
Alkalinity	2320B	310.1	14 days
Ammonium	4500NH4	350.1	28 days
Bicarbonate	2320B	310.1	14 days
Carbonate	2320B	310.1	14 days
Chloride	4500Cl	300.0	28 days
Iron	3120B	200.7	6 months
Magnesium	3120B	200.7	6 months
Manganese	3120B	200.7	6 months
Nitrate as N	4500NO3	353.2; 300.0	48 hours
Nitrite as N	4500NO2	353.2; 300.0	48 hours
Phosphorus	4500P	365	28 days
Potassium	3120B	200.7	6 months
Sodium	3120B	200.7	6 months
Sulfate	4500SO4	300.0	28 days
TDS	2540C	160.1	7 days
TKN	4500-NH3	351	28 days

SAMPLE CONTAINERS AND PRESERVATIVES

Sample containers are generally available directly from the laboratory. All containers will be one-liter polyethylene, precleaned, and analyte specific. Groundwater samples for TKN and ammonia will be collected in containers containing H_2SO_4 as a preservative. The remaining samples need not be preserved. If a preservative is present, the bottle will be capped and lightly shaken to mix in the preservative. Samples from each location that require the same preservative may be placed in the same bottle if being analyzed by the same laboratory. Samples to be analyzed for dissolved metals must be filtered prior to preservation and analysis.

SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Water samples will be collected from each well and placed into laboratory prepared containers, sealed with tight fitting caps, labeled, and stored in a cool ice chest. Water

used for field measurements of temperature, pH, and EC shall not be used as sample water. The following are the recommended sample collection procedures:

- Rinse the tubing with one liter of sample prior to sample collection;
- If no preservative is present, rinse sample bottles three times with a small amount of sample;
- Collect sample directly into the sample bottle;
- Allow sample containers to be open for the shortest time possible to prevent contamination;
- Do not touch the inside of bottles, lids, or tubes. Hold the bottle lid with the inside facing down to prevent contaminating the inside of the lid;
- Allow the sample water to flow into the bottle from above;
- Close bottle tightly,
- Samples will be chilled to 4 C^o immediately upon collection; and
- Transport samples to the lab as soon as possible.

At each sampling location, all bottles designated for a particular analysis will be filled sequentially before bottles designated for the next analysis are filled. If a duplicate sample is to be collected at this location, all bottles designated for a particular analysis will be filled sequentially before bottles for another analysis are filled.

All samples collected will be labeled in a clear and precise way for proper identification in the field and for tracking in the laboratory. Every sample, including samples collected from a single location but going to separate laboratories, will be pre-assigned an identifiable, unique sample number. The following is an example sample label:

Sample #:	Well ID:
Analytes:	Date:
Collected by:	Time:

It will be possible to identify each unique sample by recording the following information on the Monitoring Well Purging and Sampling Record:

- Sample identification numbers and any explanatory codes;
- Sample date and time:
- Lot numbers of the sample containers;
- Chain-of-custody form numbers;
- Shipping arrangements (overnight air bill number); and
- Name(s) of recipient laboratory (ies).

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY

A chain-of-custody (COC) record will be completed and accompany all sample shipments for each laboratory and each shipment. If multiple coolers are sent to a

single laboratory on a single day, COCs will be completed and sent with the samples for each cooler. Generally, the laboratory will supply blank COCs. An example COC is included at the end of this section.

The COC will identify the contents of each shipment and maintain the custodial integrity of the samples. Generally, a sample is considered to be in someone's custody if it is either in someone's physical possession, in someone's view, locked up, or kept in a secured area that is restricted to authorized personnel. The sampling team leader or designee will sign the COC in the "relinquished by" box and note date, time, and air bill number.

SAMPLE HANDLING AND TRANSPORT

The following outlines the packaging procedures for sample delivery to a California Certified Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP) laboratory:

- Pack ice in zip-locked, double plastic bags. Seal the drain plug of the cooler with tape to prevent melting ice from leaking out;
- Line the bottom of the cooler with bubble wrap to prevent breakage during shipment;
- Check screw caps for tightness;
- Seal all container tops with tape;
- Secure sample labels onto the containers with clear tape;
- Wrap all glass sample containers in bubble wrap to prevent breakage;
- Seal all sample containers in heavy-duty plastic zip-lock bags with the sample numbers written on the outside of the bags with indelible ink;
- Place samples in a sturdy cooler(s) lined with a large plastic trash bag.
 Enclose the appropriate COC(s) in a zip-lock plastic bag affixed to the underside of the cooler lid;
- Fill empty space in the cooler with bubble wrap or Styrofoam peanuts to prevent movement and breakage during shipment;
- Double seal ice in two ziplock plastic bags and place on top and around the samples;
- Secure each ice chest with strapping tape; and
- · Secure address and shipping labels to cooler.



Monitoring Well Purging and Sampling Record

CONSULTING GROUP An Employee Owned Company Client: Date: Project Name: County: **Project Address:** Project Manager: Job No: Phase(s): **Regulatory Contact:** Telephone: Sample Containers: Air Temp (F): Preservatives: Precipitation: Instrumentation: Wind (dir/speed): Date Last Calibrated/By: Sampler Signature: Well Number Well Elevation (ft) Well Diameter (in) Slotted Interval (ft) DTW (ft) GW Elevation (ft) Sounding Depth (ft) Well Volumes (gal) Notes: Well Volume Purged (1st) Time Temp (C°) pН EC Volume Removed (gal) Well Volume Purged (2nd) Time Temp (C°) pН EC Volume Removed (gal) Well Volume Purged (3rd) Time Temp (C°) pH EC Volume Removed (gal) Sample Depth (ft) Sample Time Equipment used: Remarks:

2" Well Volume = 0.163 x height of water column

4" Well Volume = 0.653 x height of water column